



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 10] नई विल्सो, शनिवार, मार्च 8, 1969/फाल्गुन 17, 1890

No. 10] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MARCH 8, 1969/PHALGUNA 17, 1890

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या वी जाती है जिससे कि यह ग्रलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के अतिथारण राजपत्र 24 फरवरी, 1969 तक प्रकाशित किये गये:—
 The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published up to the 24th February, 1969:—

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
60	S.O. 730, dated 19th February, 1969.	19th Cabinet Secretariat	The Government of India (Allocation of Business) (Seventy Second Amendment) Rules, 1969.
	S.O. 731, dated 19th February, 1969.	Do.	The Government of India (Allocation of Business) (Seventy Third Amendment) Rules, 1969.
61	S.O. 732, dated 20th February, 1969.	20th Ministry of Home Affairs.	Appointing the first day of March, 1969, as the date on which the Border Security Force Act, 1968 (47 of 1968) shall come into force.
62	S.O. 733, dated 20th February, 1969.	20th Ministry of Finance	Appointing Shri G. Swaminathan retired Additional Deputy Comptroller and Auditor General, as a Member of the Finance Commission.

Issue No. No. and date	Issued by	Subject
एस० ओ० 734 दिनांक 20 फरवरी 1969	वित्त मंत्रालय	गठित वित्त आयोग में, सेवानिवृत्त अतिरिक्त उपनियंत्रक महालेखा-परेक्षक श्री जी० स्थामीनाथन को नियुक्त करना।
63 S.O. 735, dated 20th February, 1969.	Ministry of Education	The International Copyright (First Amendment) Order, 1969.
एस० ओ० 736, दिनांक 20 फरवरी 1969	शिक्षा मंत्रालय	अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय कापी राइट (प्रथम संशोधन) अधेश 1969।
64 S.O. 737, dated 20th February, 1969.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the film as specified in the Schedule therein.
एस० ओ० 738, दिनांक 20 फरवरी, 1969	सूचना तथा प्रसारण मंत्रालय	अनुसूची में दी गई फिल्म को स्वीकृत करना।
65 S.O. 739, dated 20th February, 1969.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the film as specified in the Schedule therein.
एस० ओ० 740 दिनांक 20 फरवरी, 1969	सूचना तथा प्रसारण मंत्रालय	अनुसूची में दी गई फिल्म को स्वीकृत करना।
66 S.O. 741, dated 20th February, 1969.	Ministry of Foreign Trade and Supply.	Extending the period of tenure for a further period of six months upto the 16th December, 1969—the management of Bengal Nagpur Cotton Mills Ltd., Rajnandgaon.
67 S.O. 742, dated 20th February, 1969.	Ministry of Law	By-election to the House of the People from the Hoshiarpur Parliamentary Constituency in Punjab State.
68 S.O. 743, dated 22nd February, 1969.	Ministry of Foreign Trade and Supply.	Further amendment to the Exports (Control) Order, 1968.
69 S.O. 744, dated 22nd February, 1969.	Do.	Certain specifications in Cotton Textiles (Control) Order, 1948.
70 S.O. 745, dated 22nd February, 1969.	Ministry of Health, F. P., Works, Housing and Urban Development.	Nominating Shri K. K. Shah, Minister of Health, Family Planning, Works, Housing and Urban Development as President of the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.
S.O. 746, dated 24th February, 1969.	Do.	Nominating Shri K. K. Shah, Minister of Health, Family Planning, Works, Housing and Urban Development, as President of the All India Institute of Medical Sciences.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
S.O. 747, dated 24th February, 1969.	Ministry of Health, F.P., Works, Housing and Urban Development.		Nominating Shri K. K. Shah, Minister of Health, Family Planning, Works, Housing and Urban Development as a member of the Post-Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh.
S.O. 748, dated 24th February, 1969.	Do.		Nominating Shri K. K. Shah, Minister of Health, Family Planning, Works, Housing and Urban Development as President of the Post - Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh.

क्षप्र. लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियाँ प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइभ्स, विल्सी के नाम मांगपत्र भजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुंच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

भाग II—संख्या 3—उपसंख्या (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(राजा मंश्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंश्रालयों और (संघ भेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किये गए विभिन्न प्रादेश और अधिसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 24th February 1969

S.O. 847.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13A of the Representation of the People Act, 1950, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Mysore, hereby nominates Shri T. M. Wazir Ahmed, *Ex-officio* Deputy Secretary to Government, Department of Law and Parliamentary Affairs (Elections) as the Chief Electoral Officer for the State of Mysore from the afternoon of the 7th February 1969 and until further orders *vice* Shri M. Syed Murshed Peer granted leave.

[No. 154/9/68.]

By order,

K. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 22nd February 1969

S.O. 848.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Lakshmi Commercial Bank Ltd., New Delhi in respect of the property, viz., 'Hans Raj Mills Building', held by it at Amritsar, till the 30th April 1969.

[No. F. 15(2)-BC/69.]

New Delhi, the 22nd February 1969

S.O. 849.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 14th February 1969

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	ASSETS
	Rs.
Capital paid-up	5,00,00,000 Notes
Reserve Fund	150,00,00,000 Rupee Coin
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	Small Coin
	143,00,00,000 Bills Purchased and Discounted :—
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	(a) Internal
	(b) External
National Industrial Credit (Long-Term Operations) Fund	55,00,00,000 (c) Government Treasury Bills
	Balances held Abroad*
	Investments**
Deposits :—	Loans and advances to :—
(a) Government	(i) Central Government
(i) Central Government	57,12,87,000 (ii) State Governments@
	69,28,87,000

LIABILITIES	ASSETS
(a) State Governments	Rs. 7,05,70,000
(b) Banks	
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	145,90,67,000
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	6,72,11,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	61,69,000
(iv) Other Banks	36,67,000
(c) Others	289,02,14,000
Bills Payable	39,49,86,000
Other Liabilities	97,93,13,000
	Rs. 1030,24,84,000
Rupees	Rupees
	1030,24,84,000

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

** Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 68,14,32,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 19th day of February, 1969.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 for the week ended the 14th day of February 1969
 ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES		ASSETS	
	Rs.		Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	17,40,50,000	Gold Coin and Bullion :— (a) Held in India (b) Held outside India Foreign Securities	182,53,11,000 <u>371,42,00,000</u>
Notes in circulation	<u>3424,99,29,000</u>	Rupee Coin	353,95,11,000 <u>76,97,57,000</u>
Total Notes issued	3442,39,79,000	Government of India Rupee Securities	3011,47,11,000
		Internal Bills of Exchange and other Commercial Paper	..
Total Liabilities	<u>3442,39,79,000</u>	Total Assets	<u>3442,39,79,000</u>

Dated the 19th day of February 1969.

B. N. ADARKAR,
 Dy. Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/69.]

New Delhi, the 26th February 1969

S.O. 850.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 21st February, 1969.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid up	5,00,00,000	Notes	30,75,49,000
		Rupee Coin	4,65,000
Reserve Fund	150,00,00,000	Small Coin	6,63,000
		Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	143,00,00,000	(a) Internal
		(b) External
		(c) Government Treasury Bills	179,63,46,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . .	33,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad*	112,36,74,000
		Investments**	188,81,11,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund .	55,00,00,000	Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Central Government
		(ii) State Governments@	78,07,28,000
Deposits:—		Loans and Advances to :—	
(a) Government		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks†	102,17,36,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks††	243,15,51,000
		(iii) Others	1,61,07,000
(i) Central Government	58,00,90,000		

Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—			
(i) State Governments	8,22,03,000	(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(b) Banks:—		(i) State Governments	30,95,58,000
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	159,20,72,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks	13,65,93,000
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	5,82,03,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks	..
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	74,36,000	(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund :—	8,58,95,000
(iv) Other Banks	18,84,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	5,23,38,000
(c) Others	287,90,47,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund:—	
Bills Payable	40,14,70,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	6,26,71,000
Other Liabilities	98,09,63,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank	..
	Rupees 1044,33,68,000	Other Assets	40,93,83,000
			Rupees 1044,33,68,000

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-Term Securities.

**Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 78,07,52,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 26th day of February, 1969.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 21st day of February, 1969.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	30,75,49,000	Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in circulation	3393,03,74,000	(a) Held in India	182,53,11,000	..
		(b) Held outside India	..	
TOTAL NOTES ISSUED	3423,79,23,000	Foreign Securities	171,42,00,000	
		TOTAL	353,95,11,000	
		Rupee Coin	78,37,01,000	
		Government of India Rupee Securities	2991,47,11,000	
		Internal Bills of Exchange and other Commercial paper	..	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	3423,79,23,000	TOTAL ASSETS		3423,79,23,000

Dated the 26th day of February, 1969.

L. K. JHA,

Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/69]

K. YESURATNAM, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 20th February, 1969

S.O. 851.—In pursuance of sub-clause (f) of clause (iii) of sub-section 3 of Section 194-A of the Income Tax Act 1961 (43 of 1961) the Central Government hereby notifies M/s. Amratlal Ravjibhai Parikh, Manek Chowk, Ahmedabad for the purposes of the said sub-clause.

[No. 14(F.No. 12/228/68-ITB).]

R. D. SAXENA, Dy. Secy.

**OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR, CENTRAL EXCISE AND CUSTOMS,
JAIPUR**

CENTRAL EXCISES

Jaipur, the 4th December, 1968

S.O. 852.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me under Rules 15 and 16 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 and in supersession of the Notification issued under this office C. No. V(a)24/4/Int/65/29249-84 dated 24th November, 1965 I hereby notify that no declaration will be necessary under the said rules in respect of unmanufactured tobacco grown in the areas not exceeding the limits specified in Column 7 of the sub-joined schedule and cured in quantities not exceeding the limits indicated in Column 8 of the said schedule in the whole of the revenue jurisdiction set out in Column 6 thereof falling within the jurisdiction of the respective revenue tehsils mentioned in column 5 of the Central Excise Multiple Officers Division Ajmer.

Annexure to the Notification to be issued under Rules 15 & 16 of Central Excise Rules, 1944

Serial No.	Name of C.E. Division	Name of Ranges/ Sectors	Name of Revenue Districts	Name of the Tehsils	Names of Revenue Villages exempted under Rules 15 & 16	Max. size of acreage of limit of tobacco cultivation of which which a tobacco grower under rule 15 of the C.E. Rules 1944 in the area specified in Col. 6.	Quantitative limit of tobacco cultivation of which a tobacco grower under rule 15 of the C.E. Rules 1944 in the area specified in Col. 6.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Ajmer MOD	Ajmer MOR/ (Nasirabad) (Kishangarh)	Ajmer	Ajmer Kekri	All villages	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		"		Kishangarh	Do.	"	"
		"		Roopangarh	Do.	"	"
		"		Sarwar	Do.	"	"
		"		Arian	Do.	"	"
	Beawar MOR	Ajmer	Beawar	All villages	"	"	"
	Bhilwara MOR	Bhilwara	Bhilwara	All villages except 1. Kalsas 2. Chapria 3. Taswara 4. Pur 5. Jawalia 6. Bardod 7. Bardod Khera 8. Saroop Ganj 9. Sidarias 10. Pipli	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	

II. Pamas
12. Sanganer
13. Kuwada
14. Borantha
15. Siyar

Mandal

1. Thana
2. Tigria
3. Mota Khera
4. Chitanlia
5. Gaingarh
6. Bhagwanpura
7. Keria
8. Suras

” ”

Mandalgarh

All villages except
1. Mandalgarh 6 Ares 60 Kgs.

Gangapura

All Villages except
1. Khakarmela 6 Ares 60 Kgs.

Banera

All villages ” ”

Shahpura

Do. ” ”

Hurda

Do. ” ”

Baneda

Do. ” ”

Ketri

Do. ” ”

Asind

Do. ” ”

Bharatpur/
(Bharatpur)

Bharatpur

Bharatpur

All villages except
1. Tamred

6 Ares 60 Kgs.

Naobai

All villages except
1. Loharsar
2. Kherli
3. Kaishli

6 Ares 60 Kgs.

Bharatpur/
(Dholpur)

Do.

Bari

All villages except
1. Bari
2. Sohankalan-ki-Khurd

6 Ares 60 Kgs.

Baseri

All villages except
1. Tajpur
2. Bhagtar
3. Hingota
4. Bhadariya

6 Ares 60 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Bharatpur/ (Deeg)	Bharatpur	Deeg	Dholpur Rajakhera	All villages All villages	6 Ares " "	60 Kgs. " "	
				All villages except 1. Khooh	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
				All villages except 1. Brar	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
Bharatpur/ (Bayana)	Bharatpur	Nagar	Bayana Rupbas Weir	All villages All villages All villages except 1. Bhuswar 2. Sarsena 3. Nithar	6 Ares " " 6 Ares	60 Kgs. " " 60 Kgs.	
				All villages except 1. Alwar	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
				All villages except 1. Behror	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
Bharatpur/ (Alwar)	Alwar	Alwar	Bansur	All villages except 1. Raghunathpura All villages except 1. Rampur	6 Ares 6 Ares	60 Kgs. 60 Kgs.	
				All villages except 1. Saranwas 2. Harsoli	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
				All villages except 1. Rasgan	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
Bharatpur/ (Khairthal)	Do.	Kishangarh bas	Mundawar	All villages except 1. Dalawas 2. Karoli 3. Kamalpur 4. Bheriawas 5. Jhundpuri 6. Shithal	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
Tijara	Do.	Tijara			6 Ares	60 Kgs.	

(Raigarh)	Do.	Raigarh Thanagazi	All villages Do.	6 Ares " "	60 Kgs. " "
Bharatpur/ (Kherli)	Alwar	Lachhmangarh	All villages except 1. Shonkar 2. Sonkh 3. Toda.	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
Jaipur/MOR-I/ (Dausa)	Jaipur	Dausa Baswa Sikrai	All villages All villages All villages	6 Ares " " " "	60 Kgs. " " " "
(Jaipur)	Do.	Sanganar	All villages except 1. Dembi Kalan 2. Nayabas 3. Bagru	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		Sambhar	All villages Do. Chaksu Dudu Phagi Bassi Laisot	6 Ares " " " " " " " " " "	60 Kgs. " " " " " " " " " "
KotaMOR-II/ (Sector-II)	Kota	Ramganjmandi Ladpura Piploda Sangod Begu Indergarh Barod Itawa Chechut Kanwas	All villages except 1. Dawade All villages except 1. Kunari All villages except 1. Khatoli 2. Kherman All villages except 1. Lodahera All villages except 1. Barodia Kundal All villages Do. Do. Do. Do.	6 Ares 6 Ares 6 Ares 6 Ares 6 Ares 6 Ares " " " " " " " "	60 Kgs. 60 Kgs. 60 Kgs. 60 Kgs. 60 Kgs. 60 Kgs. " " " " " " " "

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		<u>Kota MOR/</u>	<u>Kota</u>	Degod Baran Shahbad Chhipabardon Atru Chhabra Mangrol	All villages Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. All villages except 1. Bherunpura	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>Baran</u>		Kishanganj Anta	All villages All villages	6 Ares " "	60 Kgs. "
		<u>Kota MOR/</u>	<u>Jhalawar</u>	Pirawa Dug Gangdhar Bakan Jhalrapatan Khanpur Manoharthana Eklera Asnawar	All villages except 1. Pirawa 2. Nandpura All villages except 1. Dug. All villages except 1. Sarkheri All villages Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	6 Ares 6 Ares 6 Ares " "	60 Kgs. 60 Kgs. 60 Kgs.
		<u>Bhawanimandi</u>					
		<u>Sawai madhopur/</u>	<u>Sawai Madhopur</u>	Gangapur Bamanwas Khendar	All villages except 1. Khandeep 2. Piloda All villages All villages except 1. Bajoli	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>M.O.R. (Sec. I)</u>				6 Ares	60 Kgs. "
		<u>Sawai madhopur/</u>	<u>Bundi</u>	Bundi	All villages except 1. Khatkar 2. Keshupura 3. Barham 4. Julenda 5. Rehanq 6. Bagdu	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>(Bhundi)</u>					

			7. Sangawala 8. Jhuleka		
	Nainwa	All villages	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
	Hindoli	All villages	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
	Keshora Pattan	All villages except 1. Barana 2. Bhingshi 3. Manoli 4. Borda 5. Jhaleda 6. Kheria Durjan 7. Kadwala	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
<u>Swaimadhopur/</u> <u>(Hinduan)</u>	<u>Sawai Madhopur</u>	<u>Hindaun</u>	All villages except 1. Hindaun 2. Dasarpur	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
	„	Todabhim	All villages except 1. Karcri 2. Bhazipur 3. Nand Khurd 4. Khanpur 5. Rajoli		
<u>Sawai madhopur/</u> <u>(Karauli)</u>	„	Mahwa Karauli Sapotra	All villages All villages All villages	6 Ares „ „	60 Kgs. „ „
<u>Jaipur MOR-III/</u> <u>(Jamuvarangarh)</u>	<u>Jaipur</u>	<u>Ajmer</u>	All villages except 1. Dodakabas 2. Niwana 3. Poonyana 4. Nagal Tikan 5. Dholsar	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		Jamuvarangarh	All villages except 1. Khora Meena 2. Achrol 3. Saon Bania	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

1	3	4	5	6	7	8
				4. Saon Seera 5. Paper 6. Naila 7. Bhotkabas 8. Luneta 9. Mathasula 10. Nonpura		
Jaipur MOR-III/ <u>(Shahpura)</u>	Jaipur	Kotputli		All villages except 1. Kotputli 2. Sundarpura 3. Nangal Charla	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
Jaipur MOR-III/ <u>(Sikar)</u>	Sikar	Bairath . . .	Sikar . . .	All villages except 1. Sikar 2. Gokalpura 3. Bajar 4. Dassan Ki-Dhani 5. Racha Kishanpura 6. Sabalpura 7. Bhukron-ka-Bas 8. Kurli 9. Daulatpura 10. Katrathal 11. Kudan 12. Akwa 13. Bhaironpura 14. Pardolichoti 15. Sewa 16. Sihatli Chhoti 17. Naini 18. Rashidpura 19. Palthana 20. Jigarbari 21. Dadia 22. Jeetpura 23. Gothra 24. Kaliras	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

25. Kalyanpura
26. Sanwlooda Purohit
27. Sanwalodhalal-Ki-Dhani
28. Losal Chhoti
29. Shampura
30. Jagatpura
31. Tassar Bari
32. Tassar Chhoti
33. Maliari
34. Sewad Chhoti
35. Chandpura
36. Dhod
37. Deep Pura Charan
38. Ballu Pura
39. Bosana
40. Sarwari
41. Sangrawat
42. Puranpura
43. Gajaihu
44. Anokhu
45. Bhonwala
46. Dugoli
47. Palwas
48. Majipura
49. Fatehpura
50. Khurdi
51. Mordunga
52. Tatanwa
53. Kasli
54. Nagwa
55. Plasra

Jaipur-III)	Sikar	Fatehpuri	All villages except	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
	(Sikar)		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ramgarh 2. Fatehpuri 3. Qiamdar 4. Rasulpura 5. Bagasran 		
	Laxmangarh		All villages except	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bathotha 2. Khuri Chhoti 3. Khuri Bari 		

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
				4. Kharu 5. Manaria 6. Hamirpura 7. Jasrasar 8. Khatipura 9. Bhojasar Chhota 10. Balwas 11. Patoda 12. Bijaypura 13. Sulath 14. Sigdila Bara 15. Pullanamali 16. Pullana Patti 17. Puliani 18. Badagaon 19. Yalsar 20. Palri 21. Bagriaon-ka-bas 22. Pandawa 23. Bidosar 24. Kheriaran 25. Madhopura 26. Jajod 27. Batalra 28. Balaran 29. Rajpura 30. Laxmangarh				
Jaipur-III/		Tonk		Tonk	All villages except 1. Khatmana 2. Tonk 3. Arnia 4. Goda Bawli	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
(Tonk)				Niwai	All villages except 1. Niwai 2. Bilalpura 3. Chandsain 4. Pakari 5. Asjeeki Dhani	"	"	

Malpura	All villages	6 Acres	60 Kgs.
Aligarh	Do.	"	"
Uniara	Do.	"	"
Todarai Singh	All villages except 1. Todari Singh 2. Khasidi Khurd	6 Acres	"
Deoli	All villages except 1. Dund	6 Acres	"
Jaipur-III/ <hr/> (Srimadhopur)	Sikar	Neemkathana	All villages except 1. Nathu-ka-Nangal 2. Behudanli 3. Neemkathana 4. Jangalpura 5. Kishorepura
Do.	Do.	Dataramgarh	All villages except 1. Bhoniwanla-ki-Dhani 2. Swaipur 3. Garti 4. Dukhia 5. Ranoli 6. Palsona 7. Sulia 8. Chirasara
Do.	Do.	Srimadhopur	All villages except 1. Dhalias 2. Simrala 3. Srimadhopur 4. Shahpur 5. Ladhpura 6. Asspura 7. Luhwani

[C. No. V(4)30/1/Int/68/III]
A. SHANKAR, Dy. Collector.

[Authority :—Ministry of Finance (Dept. of Revenue & Insurance) F. No. 18/16/68-
CS-IV, dated 21st May 1968.]

**OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR, CENTRAL EXCISE AND LAND
CUSTOMS, JAIPUR**

Jaipur, the 23rd December, 1968

S.O. 853.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me under Rules 15 and 16 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 and in supersession of the Notification issued under this office C. No. V(a)24/4/Int/65/29249-84, dated 24th November, 1965 I hereby notify that no declaration will be necessary under the said rules in respect of unmanufactured tobacco grown in the areas not exceeding the limits specified in column 7 of the sub-joined schedule and cured in quantities not exceeding the limits indicated in column 8 of the said schedule in the whole of the revenue jurisdiction of the respective revenue tehsils mentioned in column 5 of the Central Excise Division, Jodhpur.

Annexure to the notification to be issued under rules 15 and 16 of Central Excise Rules, 1944.

Sl. No.	Name of C.E. Division	Name of Ranges/J Sectors	Name of Revenue District	Name of the Tehsils	Name of Revenue Villages expected under Rules 15 & 16	Max. size of acreage of tobacco cul- tivation which a tob. curer may cure without undertake, a declara- tion under declaration under Rule 16 ibid under Rule 15 of the C.E. Rules of area speci- 1944 in areas fied in Col. 6 specified in Col. 5.	Quantitative limit of tobacco of which a tob. curer may cure without undertake, a declara- tion under declaration under Rule 16 ibid under Rule 15 of the C.E. Rules of area speci- 1944 in areas fied in Col. 6 specified in Col. 5.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Jodhpur	<u>Bikaner/</u> Kuchaman City.	Nagpur	Nawa	All villages of Tehsil Nawa except villages. 1. Bhanwata 2. ⁵ Mitri 3. Kherpura 4. Hirani 5. Lichana 6. Anandpura 7. Kuchaman City 8. Kharia 9. Jasrana 10. Manglodi 11. Titana 12. Lalas 13. Adaksar 14. Charanwas 15. Panchota.	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Parbatsar	All villages of Parbatsar except villages. 1. Padampura 2. Peehlwa 3. Khokharia 4. Maindkia 5. Kamlod. 6. Pura. 7. Boordoo 8. Barnait 9. Chitwa 10. Peelh	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
				Deedwana	All villages of Tehsil Deedwana except 1. Bagsar 2. Khuri 3. Khresh 4. Singravat Bari 5. Dihkawa 6. Jarood 7. Alakhtura 8. Dabra 9. Mitha Granoda 10. Jabliawas 11. Bakwas 12. Singravat Choti 13. Ladariya 14. Kurli	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
Jodhpur	Bikaner	Nagaur	Jayal		All villages of Tehsil Joyal except 1. Sanju 2. Dagri 3. Kherwa	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
	<u>Nagaur</u>			Deegana	All villages except 1. Nimblo 2. Nimbri Khurd 3. Thatta 4. Chardas.	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

Jodhpur	<u>Sriganganagar</u> Hanumangarh	Sriganganagar	Bhadra	All villages of Tehsil Bhadra 6 Acres except 1. Chaniberi 2. Bcri 3. Ajitpura 4. Jhausal 5. Nitahanu	60 Kgs.
			Johar	All villages exempted	
			Hanumangarh	All villages exempted	
	<u>Sriganganagar</u> Suratgarh.	Sriganganagar	1. Raisinghnagar 2. Anoopgarh 3. Hanumangarh	All villages exempted All villages exempted All villages exempted	6 Acres 60 Kgs. " " " "
	<u>Sriganganagar</u> Sriganganagar	Sriganganagar	1. Sriganganagar 2. Karanpur 3. Padampur	All villages exempted All villages exempted All villages exempted	" " " " " "
	<u>Jhunjhunu</u> Jhunjhunu	Jhunjhunu	Jhunjhunu	All villages of Jhunjhunu Tehsil except 1. Jhunjhunu 2. Bagad 3. Patusari 4. Dorsar 5. Bhudana 6. Indali 7. Lotu 8. Lalpur	6 Acres 60 Kgs.
			Udaipurwati	All villages of Udaipurwati Tehsil except 1. Hasalsar 2. Natas 3. Sheonathpura 4. Nangli Guiran 5. Bhatiwar 6. Bas Bishna	6 Acres 60 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				7. Khatkari 8. Ked 9. Kishore Pura 10. Sithal 11. Titanawar 12. Khiwansar 13. Bajawa 14. Chhawsari 15. Chhau 16. Gudhagorj 17. Bamlas 18. Todi 19. Duriya 20. Bhedji 21. Singhrod 22. Parasrampura Naya 23. Parasrampura Purana. 24. Todpur 25. Inderpura 26. Udaipur 27. Chirana 28. Kari 29. Kolsia 30. Birol 31. Nawalgarh 32. Bhojnagar			
3 Jodhpur		<u>Jhunjhunu</u> Pilani.	Jhunjhunu	Khetri	All villages of tehsil Khetri Except. 1. Ghardana Khurd 2. Mehra Jatwas 3. Mehra Gujran 4. Tiba Bassi 5. Madho Garh 6. Simla 7. Charas 8. Loyal 9. Padeva	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

4 Jodhpur	<u>Jhunjhunu</u> Pilani	Jhunjhunu	Chirawa	All villages of Tehsil Chirawa except. 1. Kishore Pura 2. Padampura 3. Kiamsar 4. Bhukana 5. Chandana 6. Sholana 7. Mehrampur 8. Covla 9. Kithana 10. Kaltot Kalan 11. Kaloth Khurd. 12. Mahipalwas 13. Bharunda Kalan 14. Bharunda Khurd 15. Thincholi 16. Nizampur 17. Chidasan 18. Bakhtawarpura 19. Pipli 20. Nunia Gothra 21. Shampura 22. Kahlan 23. Dhindwa Aguna 24. Zini 25. Bhobhian 26. Sehi Kalan 27. Pilani 28. Dulanja 29. Mandrella. 30. Budania	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
5 Jodhpur	<u>Jhunjhunu</u> Churu	Churu	Sadulpur.	All villages of Tehsil Sadulpur except 1. Bewar 2. Chandgothi 3. Sulkhania Bara.	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
6 Jodhpur	<u>Jhunjhunu</u> Ratangarh	Churu	Ladnun	All Villages of Tehsil Ladnun except. 1. Kasumbhi	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Jodhpur	<u>Mavli</u> Mavli	Udaipur	Mavli	All villages except 1. Falicheda 2. Thamli 3. Fatehnagar 4. Girdharipura 5. Sanwad 6. Morath	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
	"	"	Vallabhnagar	All villages except 1. Jaipura 2. Dhoorta 3. Yijaymagari 4. Narainpura	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
	<u>Mavli</u> Fatehnagar	Chittorgarh	Kapasan	All villages except 1. Jasma 2. Patolia 3. Bhania-Khedi 4. Mundkadia 5. Sanwalia Kheda 6. Deoren-ka-Kheda 7. Anup Pura 8. Kanakheda 9. Kalyanpura 10. Ramakheda 11. Kapasan 12. Dhani 13. Raipuria 14. Surtakheda 15. Dabar 16. Guda 17. Gujron-ki-Bhagal	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
	"	"	Rashmi	All villages except 1. Gurjania 2. Arni	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	
	<u>Mavli</u> Nathdwara	Udaipur	Nathdwara	All villages except 1. Karoli 2. Pakhanad	6 Ares	60 Kgs.	

			3. Takadion-ka-Guda 4. Megatia Kalan		
	"	Railmagra	All villages except 1. Banedia 2. Madara 3. Jawasia 4. Chhatri-kheda 5. Panotia 6. Gangas 7. Kurj 8. Junda	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
"	"	Rajsamand	All villages	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
"	"	Deogarh (Part)	All villages except 1. Deogarh 2. Ghati 3. Isarmand 4. Deopura 5. Dolpura 6. Panwaria 7. Kawathal 8. Malkat	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
"	"	Kumbalgarh	All villages	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
"	"	Amet	All villages	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
<u>Mayii</u> <u>Bhim</u>	Udaipur	Bhim	All villages except 1. Thikarwas 2. Nabri 3. Phutia-ka-Thad 4. Lakha Gudha	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
"	"	Deogarh (Part)	All villages except 1. Lasani 2. Miyala 3. Kalgun 4. Tal	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Chittorgarh	Chittorgarh	Gangrar	All villages except 1. Soniana 2. Sadas	4 Acres	45 Kgs.
		Chittorgarh	“	Chittorgarh	All villages except 1. Ochree 2. Khor 3. Gadivara 4. Daulatpura 5. Bassi 6. Jawanpura 7. Udopura	4 Acres	45 Kgs.
		“	“	Begun	All villages except 1. Begun 2. Bichore	4 Acres	45 Kgs.
		Chittorgarh	Banswara	All Tehsils except Banswara	All villages except 1. Khandu 2. Rattan pur 3. Banswara 4. Sarai Bari 5. Surpur 6. Roopji-ka-Khera 7. Barora 8. Vetal Dev 9. Garia 10. Charchara	4 Acres	45 Kgs.
		Chittorgarh	Chittorgarh	Chhoti-Sadri	All villages except 1. Chhoti Sadri	4 Acres	45 Kgs.
		Nimbahera		Achnera (Now merged with Tehsil Pratapgarh.) Nimbahera	All Villages		
					All Villages except 1. Charlia	4 Acres	60 Kgs.

<u>Chittorgarh</u> Nimbahera	Chittorgarh	Bhalesar	All Villages except 1. Bhadsora 2. Umedpura 3. Guda 4. Madanpura 5. Mandpia 6. Karoli 7. Radia Khera 8. Bhimlod 9. Nanji-ka-Khera 10. Seghiva	4 Ares	45 Kgs.
<u>Chittorgarh</u> Mangalwar	Chittorgarh	Dungla	All villages except 1. Mircha Kheri 2. Laxmipura 3. Padampura 4. Mangalwar 5. Bella Khera 6. Marwan 7. Jaitpura 8. Chipa Kheri	4 Ares	45 Kgs.
<u>Udaipur</u> Bari-sadri	Udaipur	Dhariaward	All Villages except 1. Bilkawas 2. Ramer.	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
,,	Chittorgarh	Bari-Sadri	All villages except 1. Chandra Kheri 2. Sangria 3. Sopur 4. Rattanpur. 5. Pander 6. Bohera 7. Nigroom	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
<u>Udaipur</u> Udaipur (Rural)	Dungarpur	Dungarpur Sagwara Aspur	All Villages All Villages All Villages	6 Ares 6 Ares 6 Ares	60 Kgs. 60 Kgs. 60 Kgs.
,,	Udaipur	Sarara	All Villages except 1. Parsad 2. Pari	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		<u>Udaipur</u>	<u>Udaipur</u>	<u>Gitwa</u>	All Villages except 1. Kurabad 2. Nad 3. Pawand 4. Prounda 5. Kasia 6. Todi 7. Fila 8. Jud 9. Chhotia 10. Bisni 11. Bemla	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>Udaipur (Rural)</u>			Jadol	All Villages	6 Ares
					Salumber	All Villages	60 Kgs.
					Kherwara	All Villages	60 Kgs.
					Kotra	All Villages	60 Kgs.
					Gogunda	All Villages	60 Kgs.
Jodhpur	Jodhpur	<u>Jodhpur</u>	<u>Jodhpur</u>	<u>Jodhpur</u>	All Villages	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>Jodhpur (III)</u>		<u>Osian</u>	All villages except 1. Baori 2. Chewra 3. Birani 4. Budla Basni 5. Kuluwas	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
			"	<u>Shergarh</u>	All villages except 1. Khudiala 2. Balasure (Durgamata) 3. Balesure (Satton) 4. Balasur (Kui) 5. Belwa 6. Nimba-ka-gaon 7. Birahi	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

Do.	Do.	Bilara]	1. Ransingaon . 2. Borurida	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		Jaisalmer Barmer Panch padra	All villages All villages except 1. Kitnod 2. Sarana 3. Somdhry 4. Kurmawas 5. Padru 6. Mithora	6 Ares 6 Ares	60 Kgs. 60 Kgs.
		Shed	All villages	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		Barmer	All villages	„	„
		Savana	All villages	„	„
Pali	Pali	Pali	All villages of Pali Tehsil	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
<u>Pali-II</u>					
		Kharchi	All villages except 1. Isali 2. Banta 3. Wadiaya 4. Jogawar 5. Gudha-Kesar-Singh 6. Pholiya 7. Gadana	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
Pali	Pali	Sojat	All villages except 1. Deoli Udwatan 2. Deoli Hullan 3. Rampura	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
<u>Sojat</u>					
Pali	Pali	Raipur	All villages except 1. Lilamba 2. Khushlpura 3. Karmaw Malian	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
<u>Sojat</u>					
		Jaitaran	All villages except 1. Nimbaj 2. Phool Mal 3. Basni Kaviyan 4. Bagora.	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		<u>Pali</u> Rani	Pali	Desuri	All villages except 1. Panota 2. Kot 3. Rani 4. Nawangaon 5. Mewi Kalan 6. Mewi Khurd	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>Sirohi</u> Sirohi	Sirohi	Sirohi	All villages of Sirohi Tehsil except 1. Kalandri 2. Jaila 3. Sabraja 4. Dodwa 4. Dhungi	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
				Revdar	All villages except 1. Barbaj 2. Dolpura 3. Jholepur	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
				Shivganj	All villages except 1. Posalla 2. Rokhara 3. Arathwara 4. Lass 5. Gole	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>Sirohi</u> Abu-Road	Sirohi	Aburoad	All villages except 1. Saantpur 2. Akera-Bhatta 3. Udwaria 4. Tertoli 5. Phula-bai-ka-Kaera	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
				Pindwara	All villages except 1. Nandia 2. Jharoli 3. Pindwara 4. Aundra	6 Ares	60 Kgs.

<u>Sirohi</u>	<u>Jalor</u>	<u>Jalor</u>	<u>All villages</u>	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
<u>Jalor</u>		<u>Ahor</u>	<u>All villages except</u> 1. Guda Balotan 2. Dayalpura 3. Gangawas 4. Charlis 5. Madri 6. Guda Inderpura 7. Malpura	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>Sanchor</u>	<u>All villages</u>	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
		<u>Bhimal</u>	<u>All villages except</u> 1. Karwara 2. Bagra	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
<u>Sirohi</u>	<u>Pali</u>	<u>Bali</u>	<u>All villages except</u> 1. Pali 2. Sumerpur 3. Pomawa 4. Sewari 5. Paderla 6. Badaguda 7. Bhatond 8. Pawa 9. Basant 10. Khundala 11. Nana 12. Vellar 13. Kothar 14. Kanpura 15. Dhanapura 16. Barwa	6 Ares	60 Kgs.
<u>Sumerpur</u>					

[Authority:—Ministry of Finance (Dept. of Revenue & Insurance) F. No. 18/16/68-CS-IV, dated 21st May 1968.]

[C. No. V(4)30/1/Int/68/III]

A. SHANKAR, Dy. Collector.

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, DELHI

CENTRAL EXCISES

Delhi, the 18th February 1969

S.O. 854.—In pursuance of Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I, Collector of Central Excise, Delhi, hereby authorise the Central Excise Officers of the Central Excise Collectorate, Delhi, of and above the rank mentioned in column 2 of the table given below, to exercise within their respective jurisdiction, the powers of the Collector under the Central Excise Rules mentioned in column 3 of the table, subject to the limitations set out in column 4 thereof:—

S. No.	Rank of Officers	Central Excise Rules	Limitations, if any
1	2	3	4
1.	Deputy Collector	191-A. 191-B.	In relation to approval of manufacturing formula. Do.
2.	Assistant Collector	191-A. 191-B.	In relation to all procedural respects including initial registration, except approval of manufacturing formula. Do.
2. This issues in supersession of previous delegations, if any, on the subject.			

[C. No. IV(8)1CE/69.]

R. PRASAD, Collector.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS AND CENTRAL EXCISE, SHILLONG

CENTRAL EXCISE

Shillong, the 21st February 1969

S.O. 855.—The words 'Full Powers' appearing in column (3) of the table sub-joined to this Office Notification (Central Excise) No. 7/68 dated 19th September, 1968 shall be deleted and following shall be inserted in the column.

The power to withdraw permission granted under rule 56A(2) shall be exercised by the officers of and above the rank of Assistant Collectors of Central Excise.

[No. 1/69.]

A. K. BANDYOPADHYAY, Collector.

पट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 4 जनवरी, 1969

एस० ओ० 856.—यह केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोक हित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य के कलोस तेल क्षेत्र में बेवल-2 ए से फैसिर पाइन्ट तक पैट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पाइप लाइन, तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए और ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोग जन के लिये एतदुपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

2. अतः अब पैट्रोलियम पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उप धारा (i) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

3. उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाइप लाइन के लिए आक्षेप, सक्षम अधिकारी, गुजरात पाइपलाइन्ज (तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग) के कार्यालय, बरौदा के भूभौतिकी शैड संख्या -27, केन्द्रीय अर्कशाय के पास व्यवनेतर स्टोर्स थोत, मकारपुरा रोड, बरौदा-4 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा। ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह यह चाहता है कि उस की सुनवाई व्यक्तिशः हो या किसी विधि अवसायी की भार्फित।

अनुसूची

राज्य : गुजरात

जिला : कैरा

तालुका : मातर

ग्राम	सर्वेक्षण सं०	हेक्टर	आर	पी० आर
अर्जित किये जाने वाला क्षेत्र				
नवागांव	50/1+2	0	5	57
..	36/2	0	4	46
..	699	0	3	81
..	सड़क	0	0	92
..	856/4	0	2	19
..	865	0	4	93
..	1050	0	1	92
..	10/52	0	4	36
..	1066	0	1	39
चैलिन्डा	283/1+2	0	3	35

[संख्या 20/3/67-आई० श्र० सी०/सेवर]

नई दिल्ली, 16 जनवरी 1969

एव० श्र० 857.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोक हित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य के नवागांव तेल क्षेत्र में कुआं संख्या बी० जै० बी० आर० के व्यधन स्थलों से कुआं संख्या जी०जी० एस-१ तक पैट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिए पाइपलाइन तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए और ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतदुपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

2. अतः अब पैट्रोलियम पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (i) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

2. उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप, सभ्य श्रधिकारी, गुजरात पाइपलाइन (तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग) बरोदा के कार्यालय, वैस्टन रीजन, शेंड संख्या-27, मकरपुरा रोड (सेन्ट्रल वर्किंग्स के पास) बरोदा-4 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा। ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिविष्टः यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह यह चाहता है कि उस की सुनवाई व्यक्तिगतः हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की माफेत ।

अनुसूची

(वेवल-2ए से फ्लैट तक पाइपलाइन बिछाना)

राज्य : गुजरात

जिला : गांधी नगर

तालुका : गांधी नगर

गांव	क्रम संख्या	हैक्टर	भार	पी भार
इन्डोडा	107	0	7	48

[संख्या 29(7)/68-भाई० ओ० सी०/लिवर]

शुद्धि पत्र

नई विल्ली, 12 दिसम्बर, 1968

एस० ओ० 858—भारत सरकार के पैदोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या 31/38/63-ओ० एन० जी० दिनांक 20-2-1965 के, जिस का कानूनी आदेश संख्या 729 के अन्तर्गत भारत के राजपत्र भाग II, खण्ड 3 में उपखण्ड (ii) दिनांक 6-3-1965 को प्रकाशन हुआ था, पृष्ठ 843 और गांव सोखाडा पर क्रम संख्या 195/3 के स्थान पर क्रम संख्या 195/4 पढ़िए ।

[सं० 31/38/63-ओ० एन० जी०/भाई० ओ० सी०/एल]

इन्द्र मोहन सहाय, उप-न्यायिक ।

MINISTRY OF PETRO-CHEMICALS, MINES AND METALS (Department of Chemicals)

New Delhi, the 20th February, 1969

S.O. 859.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section (1) of section 19 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby authorises each of the officers of the Government of Mysore specified in the Schedule below to exercise the powers specified in clauses (a), (b) and (c) of the said section in so far as they relate to the industry specified in sub-item (1) of item 26 of the First Schedule to the said Act.

SCHEDULE

1. Excise Commissioner.
2. Deputy Excise Commissioners.
3. District Excise Officers.
4. Assistant District Excise Officers.
5. Excise Inspectors.

[No. 4/2/68/Ch.I.]

R. J. BHOJWANI, Under Secy.

पंद्रो-रसायन, खान और धातु मंत्रालय
(रसायन विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 20 फरवरी 1969

एस० ओ० 860.—इण्डस्ट्रीज (डिवैल्पमेण्ट और रेग्युलेशन) एक्ट, 1951 (1951 का 65) की धारा 19 की उप-धारा (i) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसूर सरकार के निम्न सूची में निर्दिष्ट प्रत्येक अफसर को उक्त धारा के (क), (ख) और (ग) खण्डों में निर्दिष्ट शक्तियों का, जहाँ तक वे उक्त अधिनियम की प्रथम सूची के मद संख्या 26 के उप-मद (i) में लिखित उद्दीग से सम्बन्धित हैं; प्रयोग करने का अधिकार देती है।

सूची

1. उत्पादन शुल्क आयुक्त
2. उत्पादन शुल्क उप-आयुक्त
3. जिला उत्पादन शुल्क अधिकारी
4. जिला उत्पादन शुल्क सहायक अधिकारी
5. उत्पादन शुल्क निरीक्षक

[संख्या 4/2/68-रसा-1.]

आर० जे० भोजवानी, अध्यक्ष।

(Department of Petroleum)

New Delhi, the 24th February 1969

S.O. 861.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 4247, dated 30th November, 1968 under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1), of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (4) of that Section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited (Pipelines), free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Naphtha Line

P. S.—Kanksa

DISTT.—Burdwan

STATE—West Bengal

Name of Mouza	Survey No. (Plot Nos.)	Extent (Area)	Name of Mouza	Survey No. (Plot Nos.)	Extent (Area)
Amlazora					
J.L. No. 64	240 (Road)	.02		3645 (South)	.17
	246 (South)	.11		3646 (South)	.06
	247 (Middle)	.12		3647 (North)	.14
	254 (Middle)	.08		3648 (North)	.01
	257 (South)	.01		3649 (South)	.10
	265 (South)	.18		3658 (North)	.03
	266 (South)	.24		5043 (South)	.01
	270 (North)	.08			
	328 (South)	.12	Bamunara		
	331 (South)	.09	J.L. No. 58	2852 (South)	.005
	335 (South)	.38		2859 (North)	.07
	336 (North)	.005		2864 (North)	.05
	339 (North)	.02		2865 (South)	.01
	436 (North)	.12		2867 (North)	.05
	437 (South)	.01		2868 (North)	.05
	438 (South)	.005		2879 (West)	.005
	446 (North)	.17		2880 (North)	.12
	456 (South)	.07		2881 (South)	.08
	457 (South)	.04		2882 (East)	.12
	458 (South)	.02		2883 (East)	.03
	466 (South)	.02		2912 (North)	.03
	472 (North)	.06		2917 (South)	.04
	473 (Middle)	.01		2918 (North)	.06
	474 (North)	.10		2977 (North)	.04
	476 (North)	.01		2978 (South)	.05
	485 (North)	.04		2983 (South)	.07
	486 (South)	.06		2984 (Middle)	.09
	487 (North)	.01		3101 (South)	.07
	488 (North)	.03		3103 (Middle)	.06
	494 (South)	.08		3109 (North)	.07
	495 (South)	.01		3110 (South-West)	.005
	498 (South)	.12		3120 (South)	.03
	500 (South)	.05		3123 (North)	.03
	532 (North)	.02		3139 (North)	.07
	4474 (South)	.06		3140 (North)	.08
	4497 (South)	.11		3142 (South)	.03
	4608 (South)	.08		3143 (South)	.005
				3153 (South)	.07
				3154 (South)	.03
Gopalpur					
J.L. No. 65	3553 (South)	.25		3155 (North-East)	.03
	3557 (North)	.09		3314 (South-East)	.005
	3559 (South)	.04		3315 (South)	.04
	3560 (North)	.01		3316 (North)	.04
	3561 (South)	.06		3318 (North-East)	.02
	3572 (South)	.04		3320 (North-East)	.03
	3574 (South)	.06		3321 (South)	.01
	3575 (North)	.02		3322 (Middle)	.05
	3576 (North)	.01		3323 (South-East)	.04
	3586 (North)	.01		3338 (South-West)	.01
	3588 (North)	.05		3340 (South)	.05
	3591 (North)	.08		3341 (North-East)	.005
	3592 (North)	.06		3342 (Middle)	.06
	3593 (South)	.02		3343 (North-East)	.03
	3594 (South)	.17		3345 (Middle)	.02
	3631 (South)	.04		3346 (South)	.04
	3638 (South)	.08		3351 (South)	.005
	3639 (South)	.12		3368 (South)	.01
	3640 (South)	.10		3370 (South)	.04
	3643 (South)	.01		4914 (South)	.005

Name of Mouza	Survey No. (Plot Nos.)	Extent (Area)	Name of Mouza	Survey No. (Plot Nos.)	Extent (Area)
4918 (South)		.08	Khatpukur		
4921 (South)		.04	J.L.No.59		
4922 (South-West)		.01		921 (North)	.05
5124 (North)		.05		928 (North)	.10
5125 (North)		.04		930 (North)	.06
				931 (North)	.03
Banskopa J.L.No. 61	216 (North-East)	.01		933 (South)	.05
	279 (North)	.06		937 (South)	.01
	281 (North)	.07		939 (North)	.02
	286 (North)	.04		966 (South-East)	.08
	287 (South)	.06		1079 (North)	.03
	288 (South)	.05		1300 (South)	.03
	289 (North)	.02		1301 (South)	.02
	290 (South)	.03		1302 (South)	.04
	291 (South)	.005		1303 (South)	.06
	292 (South)	.06		1304 (North)	.03
	293 (Middle)	.12		1305 (North)	.05
	294 (Middle)	.18		1306 (North)	.01
	295 (North)	.01		1311 (North)	.04
	1500 (North)	.04		1328 (South)	.04
				1329 (North)	.12
				1333 (North)	.05

[No. 28/7/68/IOC-LAB.]

ERRATUM

New Delhi, the 24th February, 1969

S.O. 862.—In the notification of Government of India, in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals No. 20/3/67-IOC dated 21st November, 1968, published under S.O. No. 4320 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3 in Sub-Section (ii), dated 7th December, 1968.

1. At page No. 5530 Line No. From Well No. 95 to GGS III after village Chatral.
Read "Taluka Kalol" for "Taluka Kadi".

[No. 20/3/67-IOC/LAB.]

R. K. SINHA, Under Secy.

पेट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय

शुद्धि पत्र

नई दिल्ली, दिनांक 20 नवम्बर, 1968

एस० ओ० 863.—भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या 20/3/67-आई० ओ० सी० (ए) दिनांक 26 अगस्त, 1968 के, जिसका कानूनी आदेश संख्या 3197 के अन्तर्गत भारत के राजपत्र भाग, 11, खण्ड 3 में उपलब्ध (11) दिनांक 14-9-68 को प्रकाशन हुआ था, पृष्ठ संख्या 4276 और गांव सेज पर क्रम संख्या 107214 के स्थान पर क्रम संख्या 107114 पढ़िए।

[संख्या 20/3/67-आई० ओ० सी.]

नई दिल्ली, 25 नवम्बर, 1968

एस० ओ० 864.—भारत सरकार के पैट्रोलियम और रसायन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या एफ-20(3)/67-प्रोडेक्शन/आई-ओ-सी: दिनांक 27 दिसंबर, 1967 के, जिसका कानूनी आदेश संख्या 33 के अन्तर्गत भारत के राजपत्र भाग ii, खण्ड 3 में उप खण्ड (ii) दिनांक 6-1-1968 को प्रकाशन हुआ था, पृष्ठ 36 और गांव वादव स्वामी के खेत के श्रमांक 214 तथा 217 पर

क्रम	हेक्टर	आर	पी-आर	क्रम	हेक्टर	आर	पी-आर
संख्या				संख्या			
214 } 217 } 0	425 } }		के स्थान पर	214	0	4	22 } पक्किए
				217	0	6	75 }

[संख्या 20/3/67-प्रोडेक्शन/आई-ओ-सी०]

आर० एस० गोपालन, अवर सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF STEEL, MINES AND METALS

(Department of Mines and Metals)

New Delhi, the 10th February, 1969

S.O. 865.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, and Mines (Department of Mines and Metals) S.O. No. 181 dated the 3rd January, 1966 under Sub-Section (1) of Section 7 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to acquire the lands in the locality specified in the Schedule appended to that notification;

And whereas the Central Government after considering the report made by the competent authority under section 8 of the said Act, and after consulting the State Government of Bihar, is satisfied that the rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig, and search for, win, work and carry away minerals in the lands measuring 295.00 acres (approximately) or 119.48 hectares (approximately) described in the Schedule appended hereto should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig and search for, win, work and carry away minerals in the lands measuring 295.00 acres (approximately) or 119.48 hectares (approximately) described in the said schedule are hereby acquired.

NOTE.—The Plans of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Hazaribagh or in the Office of the Coal Controller, 1-Council House Street, Calcutta or in the Office of the National Coal Development Corporation Limited (Revenue Section), Darbhanga House, Ranchi.

SCHEDULE
ARGADA BLOCK-EXTENSION
SUB-BLOCK—'C'

Drawing No. Rev/12/67
Dated 14-3-1967.

MINING RIGHTS

(Showing lands where rights to
mine, quarry, bore, dig and
search for, win, work and carry
away mineral are acquired).

Serial Number	Village	Thana	Thana No.	Dist.	Area	Remarks
1	Tongi.	Mandu	135	Hazaribagh	Part	
2	Barkachumba	„	132	„	„	
3	Chapri	„	140	„	„	
4	Manua'n	„	139	„	„	
5	Hesla	„	138	„	„	

Total area 283.00 acres (approximately)
OR : 114.62 Hectares (approximately).

Plot numbers acquired in village Tongi :

511(P), 512, 513, 514(P), 515(P), 516(P), 517(P), 518 and 1070(P).

Plot numbers acquired in village Barkachumba :

1959(P), 2391(P), 2396(P) and 2398.

Plot numbers acquired in village Chapri :

2(P), 3(P), 4(P), 5 to 16, 17(P), 26(P), 27(P), 44(P), 45, 46(P), 58(P), 528(P), 530(P), 609(P), 610(P), 611(P) and 626(P).

Plot numbers acquired in village Manua'n.

47(P).

Plot numbers acquired in village Hesla :

1(P).

Boundary Description of Sub-Block—'C'.

- A—B Line passes through plot number 2391 in village Barkachumba and through plot numbers 517, 511, 514, 515, 516, 517 in village Tongi and meets at point 'B'.
- B—C Line passes through plot number 1070 in village Tongi and meets at point 'C'.
- C—D Line passes along the part Central line of the Nala, which is also the part common boundary of villages Tongi and Arigada and common boundary of villages Barkachumba and Arigada and meets at point 'D'.
- D—E Line passes along the northern boundary of village Arigada, which is also the part common boundary of villages Chapri and Arigada and meets at point 'E'.
- E—F Line passes through plot numbers 530, 611 and 626 in village Chapri, through plot number 1 in village Hesla, again through plot number 626 in village Chapri and through plot number 47 in village Manua'n and meets at point 'F'.
- F—G—H—A Lines pass through plot number 47 in village Manua'n through plot numbers 626, 611, 530, 610, 609, 530, 528, 46, 44, 58, 44, 27, 26, 530, 17, 2, 3, 2 and 4 in village Chapri and through plot numbers 2396, 1959 and 2391 in village Barkachumba and meet at point 'A'.

SCHEDULE

SUB-BLOCK—'D'

MINING RIGHTS

Serial Number	Village	Thana	Thana No.	District	Area	Remarks
1	Chapri	Mandu	140	Hazaribagh		Part
2	Hesla	Mandu	138	,,		Part

Total area: 12.00 acres (approximately)
OR : 4.86 Hectares (approximately)

Plot number acquired in village Chapri : 721.

Plot number acquired in village Hesla : 3(P), 4(P), 50(P), 961(P), 965, 966(P), 967, 968(P).

Boundary Description of Sub-Block—'D'.

I—J Line passes along part Central line of the Nala which is also the part common boundary of villages Arigada and Chapri. Arigada and Hesla and meets at point 'J'.

J—K—L Lines pass through plot numbers 968, 4, 50 and 4 in village Hesla and meet at point 'L'.

L—M Line passes through plot numbers 4, 3, 961, 966 and along the Northern boundary of plot number 965 in village Hesla and meets at point 'M'.

M—N Line passes along the part Eastern boundary of the Nala (Plot no. 968) in village Hesla and (Plot number 721) in village Chapri and meets at point 'N'.

N—I Line passes through Nala and meets at starting point 'T'.

[No. C2-20(38)/62.]

M. S. K. RAMASWAMI, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH, FAMILY PLANNING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

(Department of Health and U.D.)

New Delhi, the 21st February 1969

S.O. 866.—Whereas the Punjab University, Chandigarh, has in pursuance of the provisions of clause (d) of section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), elected Dr. B. R. Vacher, BDS, MDS., MSD., FICD, Principal, Punjab Government Dental College and Hospital, Amritsar, to be a member of the Dental Council of India with effect from the 17th January, 1969 vice Dr. P. N. Chuttani;

And whereas State Government of Maharashtra has, in pursuance of clause (e) of section 3 of the said Act, nominated Dr. K. L. Shourie, MSc., MBBS., Ph.D., MDS., Dean, Government Dental College and Hospital, 1 Frere Road, Bombay, to be a member of the said Council with effect from the 17th November, 1968;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that Dr. K. L. Shourie shall continue to be a member of the Dental Council of India and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government

of India in the late Ministry of Health No. F.3-2/62MII, dated the 17th October, 1962, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Elected under clause (d) of section 3", for the entry against serial No. 4, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. B. R. Vacher, BDS., MDS., MSD., FICD., Principal, Punjab Government Dental College and Hospital, Amritsar".

[No. F.3-43/68-MPT.]

K. DEO, Under Secy..

(Department of Health and Urban Development)

New Delhi, the 21st February, 1969

S.O. 867.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 20 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (23 of 1940), the Central Government hereby appoints (i) Dr. R. Chanda, Biochemist, (ii) Dr. J. N. Ghosh, Bacteriologist, and (iii) Shri R. C. Guha, Associate Pharmaceutical Chemist of Central Drugs Laboratory, Calcutta, to be the Government Analysts for the whole of India in respect of all classes of drugs other than those specified in rule 3-A of the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945.

[No. F.1-2/69-D.]

S.O. 868.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 20 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (23 of 1940), the Central Government hereby appoints Dr. A. K. Thomas, Director and Dr. A. L. Bhatia, Assistant Director, Central Research Institute, Kasauli as Government Analysts for the whole of India in respect of the drugs specified hereunder:—

1. Sera.
2. Solution of Serum proteins intended for injection.
3. Vaccines.
4. Toxins.
5. Antigens.
6. Anti-toxins.
7. Sterilized surgical ligature and sterilized surgical suture.
8. Bacteriophages.

[No. F.1-2/69-D.]

New Delhi, the 24th February 1969

S.O. 869.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (7) of section 33C of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (23 of 1940), the Central Government hereby appoints Dr. P. N. V. Kurup, Adviser in Indigenous Systems of Medicines, Ministry of Health, Family Planning and Urban Development to be the Secretary of the Ayurvedic and Unani Drugs Technical Advisory Board constituted under the Ministry of Health, Family Planning and Urban Development Notification No. 4-1/68-D, dated the 14th February, 1969.

[No. F.4-1/68-D.]

L. K. MURTHY, Under Secy..

MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION

(Development of Community Development)

New Delhi, the 21st February, 1969

S.O. 870.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary to appoint a Commission of Inquiry for the purpose of making an enquiry into definite matters of public importance hereinafter specified;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952 (Act No. 60 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints a Commission of Inquiry consisting of Shri J. L. Kapur, Retired Judge of the Supreme Court of India, as Chairman to enquire into the following matters.

- (i) The extent to which Central Government assistance in grants, loans and other advances to the Bharat Sevak Samaj, has been utilised by it for the purposes intended.
- (ii) The extent to which Central Government loans and advances given to the Bharat Sevak Samaj are duly secured, and measures required for timely recovery.
- (iii) The statement of accounts of the Bharat Sevak Samaj in respect of the Central grants, loans and advances made to it, the extent to which these have been or can be prepared and furnished, and the measure in which they conform to the procedures prescribed for assistance to voluntary organisations.

The Commission will make its report to the Central Government within six months.

2. And whereas the Central Government is of opinion that, having regard to the nature of the inquiry to be made and other circumstances of the case, all the provisions of sub-section (2), sub-section (3), sub-section (4) and sub-section (5) of section 5 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952 (Act 60 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said Commission, the Central Government hereby directs that all the said provisions shall apply to the said Commission.

[No. 9(2)/68-LKK.]

B. R. PATEL, Secy.

साध, हृषि सामुराशिक विकास तथा सहकारि तंत्रालय

(सामुराशिक विकास विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 फरवरी 1969

प्र० ४० ८७१—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इसमें इसके पश्चात् विनिर्दिष्ट लोक महत्व के निश्चित मामलों में जांच करने के लिए एक जांच-आयोग नियुक्त करना आवश्यक है;

अतः, अब, जांच-आयोग अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का अधिनियम सं० 60) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार निम्नलिखित मामलों में जांच करने के लिए एतद्वारा एक जांच-आयोग नियुक्त करती है कि जिसमें भारत के उच्चतम न्यायालय के सेवा निवृत न्यायाधीश श्री जे० एल० कपूर अध्यक्ष होंगे :

- (i) वह विस्तार, जिस तक भारत सेवक समाज को अनुदानों, उधारों तथा अन्य अधिकारों के रूप में दी गई केन्द्रीय सरकार की सहायता का उपयोग आशयित प्रयोजनों के लिए उसके द्वारा किया गया है;
- (ii) वह विस्तार, जिस तक भारत सेवक समाज को दिए गए केन्द्रीय सरकार के उधार तथा अधिकार सम्बन्धित प्रतिभूत हैं और वे उपाय जो सामयिक वसूली के लिए अपेक्षित हैं ;
- (iii) भारत सेवक समाज को दिए गए केन्द्रीय अनुदानों, उधारों तथा अधिकारों की आवश्यकता उस समाज के लेखाओं का विवरण, वह विस्तार जिस तक वे तैयार लिए गए और दिए गए हैं अथवा तैयार किए और विए जा सकते हैं तथा वे उपाय

जिनमें वे स्वेच्छिक संगठनों को सहायता देने के लिए विहित प्रक्रियाओं के अनुरूप हों।

आयोग छह मास के भीतर केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट देगा।

2. और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह राय है कि की जाने वाली जांच की प्रकृति तथा मामले की अन्य परिस्थितियों को ध्यान में रखते हुए जांच आयोग अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का अधिनियम सं० 80) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (2), उपधारा (3), उपधारा (4) और उपधारा (5) के सभी उपबन्ध उक्त आयोग को लागू किए जाने चाहिए अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा यह निर्देश देती है कि उक्त सभी उपबन्ध उक्त आयोग को लागू होंगे।

[सं० 9(2)/68-एल० के० के०]

बी० भार० पटेल, सचिव।

(Department of Cooperation)

New Delhi, the 22nd February, 1969

S.O. 872.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5B of the Multi-Unit Cooperative Societies Act, 1942 (6 of 1942) and in supersession of the Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation (Department of Cooperation) Notification No. 7-13/66-Credit dated the 30th August, 1966, the Central Government hereby directs that all the powers or authorities exercisable by the Central Registrar of Cooperative Societies under the said Act shall also be exercisable by Shri K. Keshav Kini, Joint Registrar of Cooperative Societies (Intensive Agricultural Area Programme), Tamil Nadu, in respect of Multi-Unit Cooperative Societies which are or are deemed to be actually registered in the State of Tamil Nadu.

[No. 7-4/66-Credit.]

S.O. 873.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5B of the Multi-Unit Cooperative Societies Act, 1942 (6 of 1942) and in supersession of the Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation (Department of Cooperation) Notification No. 7-13/66-Credit dated the 3rd July, 1967, the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the former Ministry of Community Development and Cooperation (Department of Cooperation) No. S.O. 1593, dated the 28th June, 1961, published at page 1555 of Part II Section (3)(ii) of the Gazette of India of the 8th July, 1961, namely:—

In the said notification for the existing entry against serial No. 8 the following entry shall be substituted:—

“Shri K. S. Narasimhan,
Registrar of Cooperative Societies,
Govt. of Tamil Nadu,
Chepauk, Madras.”

[No. 7-4/68-Credit.]

S. SATYABHAMA, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER

New Delhi, the 26th February, 1969

S74.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (54 of 1948) and in partial modification of the notification No. EL.II-28(15)/67 dated the 31st October, 1967, the Central Government hereby appoint Shri A. K.

Ghose, Vice Chairman, Central Water and Power Commission (Power Wing), as Chairman of the Central Electricity Authority *vice* Shri K. L. Vij.

[No. EL.II-28(15)/67.]

S. NARAYANASWAMY, Under Secy.

सिवाई व विजली मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 26 फरवरी 1969

एस० ओ० 875.—विजली (पूर्ति) अधिनियम, 1948 (54/1948) की धरा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा अधिसूचना स० ई० एल०-२-२८ (15)/67, दिनांक 31 अक्टूबर 1967 का अंशतः संशोधन करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा केन्द्रीय जल तथा विद्युत व्यायोग (विद्युत स्कन्ध) के उपाध्यक्ष श्री ए० के० घोष को श्री के० एल० विज के स्थान पर केन्द्रीय विजली प्राधिकरण के अध्यक्ष के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[स० बि० दो-२८(15)/67.]

एस० नारायणस्वामी, अवर सचिव।

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P. & T. Board)

New Delhi, the 26th February 1969

S.O. 876.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director-General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 16th March, 1969 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in TENKASI Telephone Exchange system in Madras Circle.

[No. 5-6/69-PHB(2).]

S.O. 877.—In pursuance of para (a) of section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S. O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director-General Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 16th March, 1969 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in SHENKOTTAH Telephone Exchange in Madras Circle.

[No. 5-7/69-PHB(2).]

S.O. 878.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director-General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 16th March, 1969 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in RAJNANDGAON Telephone Exchange in Madhya Pradesh Circle.

[No. 5-8/69-PHB(2).]

D. R. BAHL,
Assistant Director General (PHB).

संचार विभाग

(आक्सार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 26 फरवरी 1969

एस० ओ० 879.—स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा नागू किये गए 1951 के भारतीय तारे नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार

डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने तैनकासी टेलीफोन केन्द्र में 16 मार्च 1969 से प्रमापित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-6/69-पी० एच० बी० (3)]

एत० औ० 880.—स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गये 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने शैनकोटा टेलीफोन के द्रू में 16 मार्च 1969 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-7/69-पी० एच० बी०]

एत० औ० 881.—स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गए 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने राजनन्दगांव टेलीफोन केन्द्र में 16 मार्च, 1969 से प्रमापित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-8/69-पी० एच० बी०]

झू० आर० बहल,

सहायक महानिदेशक (पी० एच० बी०)।

(P. & T. Board)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th February, 1969

S.O. 882.—In pursuance of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-rule (2) of rule 12 and sub-rule (1) of rule 24 of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965, the President hereby orders that the Chief Accounts Officer, Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, shall be competent to impose the penalties specified in clauses (i) to (iv) of rule 11 of the said rules on the officials of the Posts and Telegraphs Accountants Service included in the Central Civil Services, Class III working in his office, and that the Member (Posts and Telegraphs Board) shall be the appellate authority for entertaining appeals against orders made by the said Chief Accounts Officer.

By order and in the name of the President.

[No. 44/14/68-Disc.]

D. K. AGARWAL,

Asstt. Director General,
Posts & Telegraphs.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(Office of the Lt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

ORDER

Bombay, the 20th January, 1969

OBJEKT:—Order for cancellation of exchange control copy of licence No. 979609 dated 5th April, 1963 issued in favour of M/s. Magadh Textile Mills Ltd., Calcutta.

S.O. 883.—M/s. Magadh Textile Mills Ltd., 2 Brabourne Road, Calcutta were granted an import licence No. 979609 dated 5th April, 1963 for Rs. 2155000 for import of 14 simplex frames with essential spares, accessories and motors if integral:—Rs. 800000, 2

lines of blow room machinery with essential spares, accessories and motors if integral:—Rs. 847000, 8 sets H.S. Draw frames with essential spares, accessories and motors if integral:—Rs. 508,000 under D.P. Terms, Japan, during the AM63 period. They have applied for a duplicate of the exchange control copy of the licence on the ground that the original thereof has been lost or misplaced. It is further stated that the original licence was registered with the Calcutta Customs House and was utilised for Rs. 821747.

In support of the above contention, the applicant firm have filed an affidavit on a stamped paper duly attested by the Notary, Calcutta. I am satisfied that the exchange control copy of the licence No. 979609 dated 5th April, 1963 has been lost or misplaced and direct that a Duplicate Exchange Control Copy of the licence should be issued to the applicant. The Original Exchange Control Copy of the licence No. 979609 dated 5th April, 1963 is hereby cancelled.

[No. 2.]

B. C. BANERJEE,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports,
for Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

(Office of the Joint Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

(Central Licensing Area)

CANCELLATION ORDER

New Delhi, the 20th February 1969

S.O. 884.—M/s. Jas Electric and Mfg. Co., 922-23, Faiz Road, Karol Bagh, New Delhi-5 were granted the following four import licences.

1. P/SS/1609813/C/XX/24/D/23.24/01/MF dated 13th February 1968.
2. P/AU/1211630/C/XX/26/D/25.26/01/MF dated 1st March 1968.
3. P/SS/1609013/C/XX/24/D/23.24/01/MF dated 26th December 1967.
4. P/SS/1609005/C/XX/24/D/23.24/01/MF dated 13th December 1967.

They have applied for Duplicate Exchange Control Purposes Copies only of the above-mentioned licences on the ground that the Original Exchange Control Purposes Copies have been lost. It is further stated by the firm that the original licences were not been registered with the Custom House and not utilized.

In support of this contention, the applicant firm has filed affidavits. I am satisfied that the following Original Exchange Control Purposes Copies have been lost and direct that Duplicate Exchange Control Purposes Copies of those four import licences should be issued to the applicant.

1. P/SS/1609813/C/XX/24/D/23.24/01/MF dated 13th February 1968.
2. P/AU/1211630/C/XX/26/D/25.26/01/MF dated 1st March 1968.
3. P/SS/1609013/C/XX/24/D/23.24/01/MF dated 26th December 1967.
4. P/SS/1609005/C/XX/24/D/23.24/01/MF dated 13th December, 1967.

The Original Exchange Control Purposes Copies are cancelled.

[No. Engg. 108/JS. 68/SC. 1/CLA.]

J. C. ELING,
Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE AND SUPPLY

COFFEE CONTROL

New Delhi, the 1st March, 1969

S.O. 885.—In pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Coffee Act, 1942 (VII of 1942), the Central Government has appointed Shri B. Krishnamurthy, a permanent Grade 1 Officer of the Central Secretariat Service and formerly working as Under Secretary in the erstwhile Ministry of Commerce, as Chief Coffee Marketing Officer, Coffee Board, Bangalore, with effect from the forenoon of the 17th February, 1969 vice Shri C. V. Subramanian who relinquished the charge of the said post on the forenoon of the same date.

[No. F. 9(1) Plant. (B)/69.]

S.O. 886.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 4 of the Coffee Act, 1942 (7 of 1942), read with clause (c) of sub-rule (2) of rule 3 and sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Coffee Rules, 1955, the Central Government hereby appoints, with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette, Shri Kallat Krishnan, Puthiyara, Kozhikode 4, Kerala State, to be member of the Coffee Board, to represent the interests of labour and specifies that he shall hold office as member upto and inclusive of the 9th July, 1971.

[No. F. 1(2)Plant(B)/67.]
M. L. GUPTA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT

New Delhi, the 1st March 1969

S.O. 887.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 15 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1958 (44 of 1958), read with rules 3 and 9 of the Shipping Development Fund Committee (General) Rules, 1960, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. K. Datta, Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Shipping and Transport, as a member of the Shipping Development Fund Committee with effect from the 24th February, 1969 vice Shri S. Chakravarti (resigned), and makes the following further amendments in the Notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Transport & Communications (Department of Transport) (Transport Wing), S.O. 628, dated the 17th March, 1959, as subsequently amended, namely:—

In the said Notification,—

- (a) for the entries under columns (1), (2) and (3) relating to serial No. 1, the following entries shall be substituted under the respective columns, namely:—
1. Shri S. K. Datta, Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Shipping and Transport—24th February, 1969”.
- (b) for the second paragraph the following paragraph shall be substituted, namely:—
“2. Shri S. K. Datta shall be the Chairman of the said Committee.”

[No. 35-MD(22)/66.]

JASWANT SINGH, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 22nd February 1969

S.O. 888.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that:—

1. M/s. Savithru Rice Mill, Tadepalligudem.
2. M/s. Sri Pardhasaradhi Rice and Oil Mills, Tadepalligudem.
3. M/s. Sri Ramakrishna Light Metal Corporation Ltd., Tadepalligudem.

4. M/s. General Purpose Engineering Workshop, Tadepalligudem.

were situated in Tadepalligudem area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of West Godavari in the State of Andhra Pradesh;

And, whereas by virtue of their location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factories were granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73-F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. No. 2665 dated the 2nd November, 1961;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Tadepalligudem area in the district of West Godavari in the State of Andhra Pradesh has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73-F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. No. 2665 dated the 2nd November, 1961, namely:—

“In schedule III appended to the said notification against serial No. 20 under West Godavari district the entry relating to Tadepalligudem in column 4 and all the corresponding entries against it in column 5 shall be omitted.”.

[No. F. 6/31/68-HI(i).]

S.O. 889.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that M/s. R. T. D. Bus Depot., was situated in Tadepalligudem area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of West Godavari in the State of Andhra Pradesh;

And, whereas by virtue of its location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factory was granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73-F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. No. 860 dated the 13th March, 1963;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Tadepalligudem area in the district of West Godavari in the State of Andhra Pradesh has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73-F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. No. 860 dated the 13th March, 1963, namely:—

“In the schedule appended to the said notification against serial No. 17 relating to West Godavari district the following item shall be omitted, namely:—

“Tadepalligudem M/s. R. T. D. Bus Dept.”.

[No. F. 6/31/68-HI(ii).]

New Delhi, the 24th February 1969

S.O. 890.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R. C. Jha to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Bihar for the purposes of the said Act and of any Scheme framed thereunder, in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a mine or an oil-field or any controlled industry.

[No. 20(11)/68-PF.I.]

S.O. 891.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Proteins and Chemicals Ltd., Nanavati Mahalaya, 18 Bruce Street, Bombay-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of ~~December, 1967.~~

[No. 8/153/68-PF.II.]

S.O. 892.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that with effect from the 31st December, 1967, Section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Proteins and Chemicals Ltd., Nanavati Mahalaya, 18 Bruce Street, Mumbai-1, be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter percent", the words, "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/153/68-PF.II.]

New Delhi, the 25th February 1969

S.O. 893.—Whereas the State Government of Assam has, in pursuance of clause (d) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), nominated Shri S. J. Das, Secretary to the Government of Assam, Labour Department, to represent that State on the Employees' State Insurance Corporation in place of Shri H. P. Duara;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2551 dated the 9th August, 1966, namely :

In the said notification, under the heading "(Nominated by the State Governments under clause (d) of section 4)", for the entry against item 9, the following entry shall be substituted, namely :—

"Shri S. J. Das,
Secretary to the Government of Assam,
Labour Department, Shillong.

[No. F.3(2)/69-HI.]

New Delhi, the 26th February, 1969

S.O. 894.—Whereas the Central Government has, in pursuance of clause (e) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), nominated Shri R. K. Ahuja, Labour Commissioner, Delhi Administration, Delhi, to represent that Union territory on the Employees' State Insurance Corporation in place of Shri S. C. Vajpeyi;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2551 dated the 9th August, 1966, namely :

In the said notification, under the heading "(Nominated by the Central Government under clause (e) of section 4 to represent Union Territories)", for the entry against item 22, the following entry shall be substituted, namely :—

"Shri R. K. Ahuja,
Labour Commissioner,
Delhi Administration,
Delhi."

[No. F. 3/2/69-HI.]

CORRIGENDA

New Delhi, the 22nd February 1969

S.O. 895.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in supersession of the notification of the

Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), No. S.O. 4037, dated the 6th November, 1968, the Central Government, having regard to the location of the Building Department (North), Bombay, owned by the Bombay Electric Supply and Transport Undertaking, Bombay in an implemented area, hereby exempts the said Department from payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year upto and inclusive of the 10th September, 1969.

[No. F. 6(88)/66-HI.I
DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

अम, नियोजन और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय

(अम और नियोजन विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 24 फरवरी 1969

एस० ओ० 896.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, केन्द्रीय सरकार के, या उसके नियंत्रणाधीन किसी स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में, अथवा किसी रेल कम्पनी, खान या तेल क्षेत्र या किसी नियंत्रित उद्योग से संसकृत किसी स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में, उक्त अधिनियम और तदधीन विरचित किसी स्कीम के प्रयोजनों के लिए, आर० सी० आ को सम्पूर्ण बिहार राज्य के लिए एतद्वारा निरीक्षक नियुक्त करती है ।

[स० 20(11)/68-भ० नि०-1.]

एस० ओ० 897.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स प्रोटीन्स एन्ड कैमीकल्स लि०, नानावती महालय, 18 बूस, रट्टीट, मुम्बई-1 नामक स्थापन से संबंधित नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना दिसम्बर, 1967 के 31वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी ।

[स० 8/153/68-भ० नि०-2.]

एस० ओ० 898.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रयम परन्तु कदारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये केन्द्रीय सरकार, भासले में आवश्यक जाँच करने के पश्चात्, एतद्वारा विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि 31 दिसम्बर, 1967 से, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 मैसर्स प्रोटीन्स एन्ड कैमीकल्स लि०, नानावती महालय, 18 बूस रट्टीट, मुम्बई-1 को लागू होने के संबंध में इस उपान्तर के अध्यधीन होगी कि “सवा छः प्रतिशत”¹ शब्दों के लिए “आठ प्रतिशत” शब्द प्रतिस्थापित कर दिये गये हैं ।

[स० 8/153/68-भ० नि०-2.]

दलजीत सिंह, अवर सचिव ।

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 25th February 1969

S.O. 899.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Lodna Colliery, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad (Bihar) of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20th February, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2)
AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao, Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE NO. 267 OF 1967

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Sec.10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Lodna Colliery, Post office Jharia, District Dhanbad (Bihar) of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited

AND

Their workmen

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers: Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate

On behalf of the workman: Shri Prasanta Burman, Vice President, Mine Mazdoor Union.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, 17th February, 1969/28th Magha 1890 (Saka)

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Lodna Colliery, Post office Jharia, District Dhanbad (Bihar) of Messrs Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited and their workmen, by its order No. 2/68/67-LRII dated 13th September, 1967 referred to this Tribunal under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

SCHEDULE

“Whether the management of Lodna Colliery, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad (Bihar) were justified in dismissing Shri Rambadan Singh, Night Guard from service with effect from 24th November, 1966? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?”

2. Employers as well as the workmen filed their statements of demands.

3. The workmen were represented by Shri Prasanta Burman, Vice President, Mine Mazdoor Union and the employers by Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate. On 23rd December, 1968 parties filed a compromise memo stating that the dispute involved in the reference was settled in terms of the compromise. The compromise memo was duly verified. The award is made in terms of the compromise and the compromise memo is made part of the award. The award is submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd/-) N. VENKATA RAO,
Presiding Officer,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal
(No. 2) Dhanbad.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947).

REFERENCE No. 267 OF 1967 (MINISTRY'S ORDER No. 2/68/67-LR-II, DATED 13TH SEPTEMBER, 1967)

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Lodna Colliery of M/s. Lodna Colliery Company (1920) Limited.

AND

Their Workmen.

That without prejudice to the contention of the parties the dispute under Reference has been amicably settled between the Parties on the following terms:—

- (1) That Shri Rambadan Singh, the Workman concerned in the present reference will be taken back in service, in his previous post with continuity of service;
- (2) That Sri Rambadan Singh will report for duty within two days from recording of this Compromise Petition;
- (3) That the period from the date of dismissal i.e., from 24th November, 1966 till the date Sri Rambadan Singh resumes his duties, will be treated as leave without wages for the purpose of continuity of service only;
- (4) That the Parties will bear their respective costs of this reference;
- (5) Sri Rambadan Singh, will have no other claim or any account against the Employer.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that this Compromise may kindly be recorded and an Award passed in terms thereof.

For the Workman.

(Sd.) Illegible,
Vice-President,
Mine Mazdoor Union.

For Employer.

(Sd.) Brig. K. BAG SINGH,
Chief Personal Officer,
Lodna Collieries.(Sd.) Illegible,
Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal
(No. 2), Dhanbad.

(Sd.) Illegible

Dated the 23rd December, 1968.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO.

[No. 2/68/67-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 26th February, 1969

S.O. 900.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Malkera Choitudih Colliery of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20th February, 1969.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3)
AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 52 OF 1968

PRESENT :

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, Presiding Officer.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Malkera Choitudih Colliery,

V.S.

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers—Shri L. H. Parvatiyar, Legal Assistant.

For the workmen—Shri B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE : Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 30th January, 1969

AWARD

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Malkera Choitidih Colliery of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its Order No. 2/12/66-LRII, dated the 17th October, 1966 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the management of the Malkera Choitidih Colliery of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited was justified in refusing to grant extension of leave to Shri Saudagar Dusadh, Miner, for ten days with effect from the 10th December, 1965?

If not, to what relief is the workman entitled ?”

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 145 of 1966 on its file. While it was pending there the dispute was transferred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad by the Central Government's order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated the 8th of May, 1967 and there it was registered as reference No. 181 of 1967. The Central Government by its subsequent order No. 8/71/68-LRII dated the 13th August, 1968 transferred the dispute to this tribunal where it has been renumbered as reference No. 52 of 1968.

3. In the written statement filed by the employers on 8th November, 1967 their case is that Shri Saudagar Dusadh, the concerned workman was granted leave from the 25th of November, 1965 to the 9th of December, 1965 on account of sickness of his wife. A telegram was received from the concerned workman on 9th December, 1965 at Malkera-Choitidih Colliery for extension of leave by 10 days. The manager, Malkera Choitidih Colliery informed Shri Saudagar Dusadh by letter dated 9th December, 1965 that the extension for leave had not been granted and he was being marked absent from 10th December, 1965. As Shri Saudagar Dusadh did not report for duty within 8 days of the expiry of leave originally granted, he automatically lost ten on his appointment and was kept in Badli list as per conditions of service and the workman was informed accordingly by letter dated 18th December, 1965.

4. The representation to the Chief Mining Engineer was received from Shri Saudagar Dusadh on 1st June, 1966 enclosing copies of certificates of the Mukhiya Gram Panchayat and of a Retired Medical Officer both dated 16th December, 1965. The explanation of the concerned workman Shri Saudagar Dusadh was not considered satisfactory and the Chief Mining Engineer by letter dated 7/9th June, 1966 informed Shri Saudagar Dusadh about his inability to reconsider his case. According to the management the employers were justified in refusing to grant extension of leave to Shri Saudagar Dusadh for 10 days with effect from 10th December, 1965 and as such the concerned workman was not entitled to any relief.

5. The President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh, Bihar filed the written statement on 30th October, 1968 on behalf of the concerned workman. The case made out in the written statement of the union is that the concerned workman Shri Saudagar Dusadh was in the employment of the Company as a permanent miner. Shri Saudagar Dusadh was granted 15 days leave from 25th November, 1965 to 9th December, 1965 on account of the illness of his wife. Since the condition of his wife was precarious he sent a telegram praying for extension of his leave for 10 days. On 14th December, 1965 he received a letter dated 9th December, 1965 addressed to him by the Manager of Malkera Colliery informing him that the management was marking him absent from 10th December, 1965. On 20th December, 1965 the concerned workman Shri Saudagar Dusadh came to the colliery for joining his duty but he was not allowed and was verbally informed that he had been discharged from service and his name had been placed in the Badli list. Thereafter he submitted certificates of the Medical Practitioner and also of the Gram Panchayat

before the management but the latter expressed their regret for their inability to reconsider his case for employment.

6. In this case certain facts are admitted. Shri Saudagar Dusadh (hereinafter referred to as concerned workman) was a miner in Malkera Colliery and was under the employment of the Company. He was granted leave from 25th of November, 1965 to the 9th of December, 1965 on account of the illness of his wife. A telegram was received from the concerned workman on 9th December, 1965 at Malkera Colliery for extension of leave by 10 days. On 14th December, 1965 the concerned workman received a letter dated 9th December, 1965 addressed to him by the manager of the Malkera Colliery informing him that he was being marked absent with effect from 10th December, 1965. On 20th December, 1965 when he returned to the colliery to join his duty he was told that he had been discharged from service and his name had been kept on the Badli list.

7. According to the management the concerned workman automatically lost lien on his appointment and was kept in the Badli list as per condition of service. In this connection the employers relied on clause 9 of the Standing Order. Clause 9 of the Standing Order runs as follows:—

“Any direct employee of the Company other than a miner or loader who desires to obtain leave of absence shall apply in writing to the Head of his Department or the Manager of the colliery. Employees who due to illiteracy do not apply in writing must apply verbally. If the employee remains absent beyond the period of leave originally granted or subsequently extended he shall lose his lien on his appointment unless :

- (i) he returns within this 8 days of the expiry of the leave except those who have enjoyed the privilege of 30 days so far and
- (ii) gives an explanation to the satisfaction of the Manager of his inability to return before the expiry of leave. In case the employee loses his lien on the appointment he shall be entitled to be kept on the ‘Badli’ list.

If leave is refused or postponed the fact of such refusal or postponement and the reasons therefor, shall be recorded in writing in a leave register to be maintained for this purpose and if the employee so desires a copy of such entry in the Register shall be supplied to him.”

8. It was submitted before me that clause 9 of the Standing Order does not apply to the concerned workman as he was employed in the colliery as a miner and clause 9 of the Standing Order is not applicable to a miner. Clause 9 clearly stipulates that it is applicable only to the direct employee of the Company “other than a miner”.

9. MW1 Shri Akhauri Hari Krishan has also stated in his evidence that the miners are piece-rated workers and they are paid by their results and such miners work in a gang consisting of 10 to 12 workmen according to circumstances. Therefore, *prima facie* the concerned workman is not bound by clause 9 of the Standing Order. Under clause 9 of the Standing Order is not applicable to a miner. Clause 9 clearly stipulates that his lien on his appointment i.e. he is deemed to have terminated his contract of service and thus relinquished or abandoned his employment. The certified Standing Orders represent the relevant terms and condition of service in a statutory form and they are binding on the parties. It is true that under general law an inference than an employee has abandoned or relinquished service is not easily drawn unless from the length of absence and from the other surrounding circumstances an inference to that effect can be legitimately drawn and it can be assumed that the employee intended to abandon service. Abandonment or relinquishment of service is always a question of intention, and, normally, such an intention cannot be attributed to an employee without adequate evidence in that behalf. But where parties agree upon the terms and conditions of service and they are included in certified Standing Orders, the general law or consideration of equity would not be relevant. It is then a matter of construing the relevant terms of the Standing Order. Clause 9 of the Standing Order apparently is not applicable to a miner, therefore, this matter cannot be considered solely by reference to the Standing Order. Clause 9 of the Standing Order therefore, is clearly not applicable to the facts of this case.

10. It was further submitted before me that even assuming that clause 9 of the Standing Order was applicable, the concerned workman offered satisfactory explanation by submitting certificate of Medical Practitioner and Mukhiya of the Gram Panchayat.

11. MW-1 Akhauri Harikrishan has stated in his evidence that the concerned workman Sri Saudagar Dusadh appeared before him on 20th December, 1965 and he informed the concerned workman that he was already put on the Badli list. The contention of the

concerned workman is that since no opportunity was given to him to produce Medical certificate before the management he sent the same to the management along with his application dated 28th of May, 1966 (Ext. M. 4). The Medical Practitioner had certified to the effect that the wife of Saudagar Dusadh was suffering from Bronchitis and other elements and since there was no other male member in her family the concerned workman was looking after her and was obliged to stay at home to take care of her from 10th December, 1965 to 16th December, 1965. The Mukhiya of Umga Gram Panchayat has also granted a certificate to the effect that the wife of the concerned workman was seriously ill and that he should be allowed leave as indicated in the medical certificate. Ram Lekhan Prasad, Mukhiya who had granted the certificate (Ext. W 6) has also been examined and is WW-2 and he has stated on oath that the wife of Saudagar Dusadh was ill and was under the medical treatment of Dr. S. N. Sinha of Madanpur. The management did not characterise the certificates granted by the medical practitioner and the Mukhiya as spurious but still they did not take into consideration these two certificates for condoning his absence and the management expressed their inability to reconsider the case of the concerned workman. The management has not given satisfactory reason as to why they did not confirm the certificate granted by the Medical Practitioner and the Mukhiya of the Gram Panchayat. MW-1 Shir Akhauri Hari Krishan has also stated in his evidence that in this particular case no reason was given for referring extension of leave. The circumstances show that the management did not act fairly in rejecting the medical certificate as well as the certificate granted by the Mukhiya.

12. By letter dated 9th December, 1965 the management informed the concerned workman that he was marked absent with effect from 10th December, 1965 (Ext. M.3). This letter reached the concerned workman on 14th December, 1965. The contention of the concerned workman is that on 14th December, 1965 the refusal to grant of leave was communicated to him and that he did appear before the management on 20th December, 1965 i.e. within eight days of the communication of refusal. It was therefore, submitted that the management did not act fairly in refusing the concerned workman to join his duty.

13. It was further submitted before me that the leave which was originally granted had expired on 9th of December, 1965. Calculating eight working days thereafter, i.e. excluding two Sundays on 12th and 19th December, 1965, it is submitted that the workman's appearance at the colliery for duty on Monday, 20th December, 1965, was quite in order even in terms of the said Standing Order and there is some substance in the contention of the workman.

14. According to clause 11 of the Standing Order the management has free discretion to revoke or curtail the leave in the case of exigencies of the Company's work. It is therefore, submitted before me that the concerned workman was a piece-rated miner and leave was not refused to him on account of the exigencies of service.

15. It was submitted before me on behalf of the concerned workman that the management who is a very big and prosperous employer should not resist the reinstatement of a single employee.

16. In the result I hold that the management was not justified in refusing to grant extension of leave to Shri Saudagar Dusadh, Miner, for ten days with effect from the 10th December, 1965 and the management is directed to reinstate the concerned workman Shri Saudagar Dusadh within two weeks after the award comes into force without liability to pay back wages but with continuity of service.

17. This is my award. It may be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA,
Presiding Officer,
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court (No. 3),
Dhanbad.
[No. 2/136/66-LRII.]

S.O. 901.--In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2), Dhanbad, in the industrial disputes between the employers in relation to the management of Gonshadia Colliery, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad of Messrs Raneegunge Coal Association Limited, Post Office Kustore (Dhanbad) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20th February, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT :

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE NO. 251 OF 1967

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Sec. 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Gonshadih Colliery, Post office Kusunda, District Dhanbad of Messrs Raneegunge Coal Association Limited, Post office Kustore (Dhanbad).

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the employers—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Executive Committee Member, Raniganj Chamber of Commerce.

On behalf of the workmen—Shri Ram Mitra, Secretary, Bihar Koyal Mazdoor Sabha.

STATE: Bihar

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, 18th February, 1969.

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Gonshadih Colliery, Post office Kusunda, District Dhanbad of Messrs Raneegunge Coal Association Limited, Post office Kustore (Dhanbad) and their workmen, by its order No. 2/75/67-LRII dated 8th August 1967 referred to this Tribunal under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

SCHEDULE

"Whether Messrs Raneegunge Coal Association Limited, Post office Kustore, District Dhanbad, Managing Contractors at Gonshadih Colliery, Post office Kusunda, District Dhanbad was justified in refusing to provide re-employment to S/Shri Bhajohari Sarkar, Nakul Mahato and Ramchandra Singh as Pump Khalasis at Gonshadih Colliery with effect from the 1st November, 1966? If not, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled?"

2. The workmen as well as M/s. Raniganj Coal Association Ltd. filed their statements of demands.

3. Sarvashree Bhajohari Sarkar, Nakul Mahato and Ramchandra Singh (hereinafter referred to as the affected workmen) were pump khalsis at Gonshadih colliery and the management retrenched them in the month of December 1964. In respect of the retrenchment an industrial dispute was raised and during the course of the conciliation proceedings before the Conciliation Officer the parties arrived at a settlement on 14th April 1965. One of the terms of the settlement was that in case of future vacancies the affected workmen would be entitled for re-employment. These facts are not in dispute. The case of the workmen is that the management of the colliery began to appoint new pump khalsis at the colliery without giving any chance to the affected workmen, that the affected workmen represented to the management for their re-employment and also sent by registered post a petition dated 1st November 1966, that the management did not reappoint them, that the refusal on the part of the management to re-appoint the affected workmen was with a view to victimise them for their lawful trade union activities and that, as such the affected workmen were entitled to full back wages with effect from 1st November 1966. M/s. Raniganj Coal Association Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as the company for the sake of convenience) filed their statement, pleading that the reference is invalid in law, that they did not appoint the affected workmen and that there was no relationship of employer employees between them and the affected workmen. They justified their refusal to re-employ the affected workmen. The workmen were represented by Shri Ram Mitra, Secretary, Bihar Koyal Mazdoor Sabha and the company by Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Executive Committee Member, Raniganj Chamber of Commerce. On admission by the workmen, Ext. M1 for the company and on admission by the company, Exts. W.1 to W.4 for

the workmen were marked. On behalf of the company a witness was examined and Exts. M2 to M23 were marked. The workmen did not examine any witness.

4. The foremost objection taken by the company is that the reference is not valid under law. In order to appreciate the contention of the parties some more undisputed facts require to be stated. Ganashadih colliery is owned by M/s. Gonshadih Coal Company of which Shri Biswanath Roy is the owner. Under a contract from the owners the colliery was under the Managing Contract of Shri Prabhu Dayal Agarwala upto 15th August 1966. The Managing Contractor, Shri Prabhu Dayal Agarwala used to employ his own labour, Manager and staff for running the contract work and used to pay them their wages. The affected workmen were also appointed by the Managing Contractor, Shri Prabhu Dayal Agarwala and it was he who had retrenched them in December 1964. In respect of the retrenchment an industrial dispute was raised and during the conciliation proceedings before the Conciliation Officer the workmen represented by the Secretary, Bihar Koyal Mazdoor Sabha and the management by the Manager, Shri K. N. Pandey of the colliery entered into a settlement, Ext. W.2. The 3rd term of the settlement stated, "in case of future vacancies, the workmen would be entitled for re-employment as per the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and rules thereunder". This settlement, Ext. W.2 is dated 14th March 1965. On 16th August 1966 the owner of the colliery, Shri Biswanath Roy entered into an agreement, Ext. M2 with the company and by virtue of the agreement the company were appointed Managing Contractors of the colliery with full power of management and they were also entitled to maintain at their cost such staff of employees and labour as was necessary to be kept and maintained for efficient running and working of the colliery. They were also at liberty to appoint and dismiss the employees. From the above facts it can be seen that the company were not a party to the settlement, Ext. W.2, on the basis of which the workmen are claiming re-employment of the affected workmen as pump khalasis in the colliery. It is also to be observed that the owner of the colliery also was not a party to the settlement. The only parties were the Manager of the colliery appointed by the then Managing Contractor, Shri Prabhu Dayal Agarwala and the workmen of the colliery represented by the Secretary, Bihar Koyal Mazdoor Sabha. It is also an admitted fact that the affected workmen were not appointed by the company and, as such there was never a relationship between them of employees and employers. Section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 points out the persons on whom settlements and awards are binding and under clause (c) of sub-section (3) of the Section the settlements and awards are binding on the parties to the settlement or award and also their heirs, successors and assignees. It cannot be said that the company are the heirs, successors or assignees of the previous Managing Contractor, Shri Prabhu Dayal Agarwala. Thus, I find that at no time the company were employers in relation to the affected workmen. According to the definition of "industrial dispute" provided in section 2(k) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 it comprises any dispute or difference between employers and their workmen. As pointed out by the Madras High Court in workmen of Sagar Talkies vs. Odeon Cinema and others (1957-58, 12 F. J. R. 193), before a dispute can be said to be a dispute between employers and workmen, the relationship of employers and workmen should be established between the parties. That was a case in which a film theatre called Sagar Talkies was taken on lease from the owners by M/s. Isherdas Shani and Brothers and the employees appointed and working previously under the owners complained that the lessees refused to take them under their service while the lessees had accepted some of the old employees. Their Lordships held that where an establishment is taken on lease and run by a new management, the staff under the old management cannot be said to have been employed by the new management and that there was no relationship between the employees and the new management. In this view I find that there was no relationship of employer and employees between the company and the affected workmen and as such the reference is not valid. I am referred to Section 25-H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, whereunder the employer is bound to give an opportunity to the retrenched workmen when he proposes to take into his employ new employees. There also it is "the employer" who is so bound, meaning thereby that it was he who had appointed and retrenched the aggrieved employees and it is he who proposes to take new employees into his employ. Hence, under this provision of law also the claim of the workmen cannot sustain.

5. The workmen had pleaded that the company had appointed several new hands as pump khalasis at the colliery. The reference could be disposed of on the preliminary objection itself. But I find that on the merit also the case of the workmen has no force. There is no evidence led on behalf of the workmen to substantiate the plea taken by them. On the other hand the company have examined the Manager of the colliery who has deposed categorically that after the company took over the colliery with effect from 16th August, 1966 no new pump khalasi was appointed and placed on the record of the Tribunal the form B register, Ext. M4 and attendance registers, Exts. M5 to M23. Nothing is elicited in the cross-examination to damage the veracity of the witness. During the arguments Shri Ram Mitra has referred to pages 48 to 55 of the appointment form books, Ext. M1 and contended that some new pump khalasis were appointed at the colliery on 1st May,

1967. I find them not appointment letters but authorisation chits issued under Regulation 36 of the Coal Mines Regulations, 1957 when the new management took over the colliery. Further, the pump khalasis to whom counter-foils from 48 to 55 were issued, were all old employees, as can be seen from the form B register, Ext. M4, which is maintained in accordance with Rule 77 of the Indian Mines Rules, 1955 framed in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 58 of the Mines Act, 1952. In this view it cannot be said that the company had made new appointments of pump khalasis after they took over the colliery. For this reason also I find no substance in the case of the workmen.

6. It is, therefore, held that the reference is invalid. The award is made accordingly and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,
Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,
(No. 2) Dhanbad.
[No. 2/75/67-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 27th February 1969

S.O. 902.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th February, 1969.

**CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3)
AT DHANBAD.**

REFERENCE NO. 58 OF 1968

PRESENT :

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, Presiding Officer.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company, Post Office—Jealgora, District—Dhanbad.

Vs.

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For Employers : Shri L. H. Parvatiyar, Legal Assistant.

For Workmen : Shri B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh, Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Coal

STATE : Bihar.

Dhanbad, dated the 12th of February 1969

AWARD

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Ltd., Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad, by its order No. 2/102/66-LRII dated the 14th October, 1966 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the dismissal of Sarvashri Chander, Hookman, and Satyadeo Singh, Miner, by the management of Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company, Post Office-Jealgora, with effect from the 3rd May, 1966, was justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?”

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 151 of 1966 on its file. While it was pending there the proceeding was

transferred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad by the Central Government's order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated the 8th of May, 1967 and there it was registered as reference No. 187 of 1967. By subsequent order No. 8/71/68-LRII dated the 13th of August, 1968 the dispute was transferred to this Tribunal and here it has been renumbered as reference No. 58 of 1968.

3. The employers filed the written statement on 6th February, 1968. Their case is that on 29th September, 1965 when the bonus was being paid to the workmen of the Jamadoba Colliery Sarvasri Chander, Hookman and Satyadeo Singh, Miner (the concerned workmen) were standing with about 100 persons near the garrison in front of the office of the Manager, Jamadoba. At the instance of Shri Subran Singh, Heavy Tyndal Mazdoor, Washing Plant the above named Shri Chander and Sri Satyadeo Singh threatened those who were accepting payment of the ordinance bonus. They also led a mob towards Shri S. N. Singh, the Legal Assistant in a violent attitude for assaulting him and also shouted abuses on him. They also led a mob towards the payment counter but were prevented from reaching there by the Watchman and police force. The concerned workmen Shri Chander and Shri Satyadeo were inciting the mob consisting of about 100 persons to violence and to assault those who were drawing bonus. With the result that all those who had come to take their bonus, except 4 workmen who had already taken the same, left the place without drawing their bonus out of fear. For the above misconduct separate charge sheets dated 8/13th October, 1965 were issued to Shri Chander and Shri Satyadeo Singh and they submitted their reply denying the charges.

4. A departmental enquiry was entrusted to the Senior Welfare Officer, Digwadih Colliery and the enquiry was held by him from 8th November, 1965 onwards in presence of the concerned workmen and they were given full chance and opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses and defend themselves. In the departmental enquiry the misconducts mentioned in the chargesheets dated 8/13th October, 1965 were satisfactorily established against Shri Chander and Shri Satyadeo and they were therefore, dismissed by letter dated 3rd May, 1966. According to the management the dismissal of the concerned workmen was *bona fide* and based on proved misconduct. According to the management they were not victimised on account of their trade union activities.

5. The President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh, Bihar filed the written statement on 31st October, 1968 on behalf of the concerned workmen. In their written statement they have denied that they threatened other workmen who were willing to accept the ordinance bonus. It was further stated that they never led the mob towards Shri S. N. Singh in a violent attitude for assaulting him nor they had shouted abuses on him. It is also denied that they had led the mob towards the payment counter but they were prevented from reaching there by the Watchmen and Police force. According to the concerned workmen the allegations have been fabricated against them because of their Trade Union activities. It was further contended by them that they were not given fair opportunity to defend themselves.

6. The departmental enquiry was held at Digwadih Colliery which is two miles away where it was difficult for the workmen concerned to take their witnesses. The workmen had therefore, protested against the fixation of the enquiry at the Digwadih Colliery and they had prayed that the enquiry should be held at the Jamadoba Colliery itself where defence witnesses could be readily available from the colliery and from the Washing Plant. But this request of the workmen was not accepted. It was further contended that the Senior Welfare Officer did not hold the enquiry according to the principles of natural justice.

7. The specific case of the concerned workman Chander, Hookman was that on 29th September, 1965 he was in the morning shift which commences from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. and he was on duty underground till 4.30 P.M. On that date he was relieved at about 4.30 P.M. and when he came on the surface it was 5 P.M. Therefore, his case was that during the time of the occurrence he was working underground and as such it was not possible for him to commit the misconduct as alleged in the charge-sheet. According to him he has been victimised due to his Trade Union activities.

8. The specific case of the concerned workman Satyadeo Singh, Miner is that he was not present at about 3.20 P.M. at the place of the occurrence but his name has been falsely implicated. According to him it is a case of victimisation.

9. The management examined three witnesses and ten items of documents, Ext. M-1 to M-10, were marked on behalf of the management. Five witnesses were examined and eleven items of documents, Ext. W-1 to W-11 were marked on behalf of the workmen

10. The first point raised on behalf of the workmen is that the charge was vague, imprecise and indefinite. The relevant portion of the chargesheet runs as follows:

"On 29th September 1965 at about 3.20 P.M. you threatened those who were accepting payment of the ordinance bonus....."

11. The charge did not disclose the names of persons who were prevented from taking bonus or who were threatened to be assaulted. The charge in that respect was certainly vague. The chargesheet must be specific and must set out all the necessary particular with the object of affording the concerned workman opportunity to defend himself and to give a proper explanation. Moreover, the material upon which the chargesheet was based was also not disclosed in this case, to the concerned workman. This substantiates the allegation of the concerned workmen that the charge was vague and indefinite.

12. The second point taken by the concerned workmen is that they were not given fair opportunity to defend themselves. The case of the concerned workmen is that they requested the enquiring officer to hold the enquiry at Jamadoba Colliery to facilitate the presence of their witnesses. According to the workmen it will not be convenient for them if the enquiry be held at Digwadih Colliery which is at a distance of two miles from their place of work and therefore, they requested that the enquiry be held at Jamadoba Colliery office or in the office of the Washing Plant. But the request of the concerned workmen was not granted and the departmental enquiry was held at Digwadih Colliery. The enquiring officer did not give any satisfactory and convincing ground for not holding the enquiry at the office of the Jamadoba Colliery or Coal Washing Plant.

13. The departmental enquiry was held on 8th, 9th and 10th November, 1965. On the first two days *i.e.*, on the 8th and 9th November, 1965 the concerned workmen Sarvasri Chander and Satyadeo Singh attended the enquiry. On the 9th November, 1965, the concerned workmen indicated to the Enquiring Officer that they would not be able to attend the Enquiry on the 10th November, 1965 and so they wanted one week's time in order to examine their witnesses and produce their evidence. But their prayer was not allowed. The enquiry continued on the 10th November, 1965 although the concerned workmen Sarvasri Chander and Satyadeo Singh did not present. The concerned workmen made written representations to the Chief Mining Engineer again on 10th November, 1965 and also on 19th November, 1965 (Ext. W-1 and W-3) and requesting him to give them chance to produce their evidences, etc. But the management did not grant these prayers.

14. In this context the point of interest is that although the domestic enquiry concluded on the 10th November, 1965 itself, final order of dismissal was passed by the management on the 20th April, 1966. *i.e.*, after lapse of a long period of more than five months. It therefore, appears that the management could easily afford opportunity to the concerned workmen to produce their own witnesses, etc., on any date after examination of the witnesses, on behalf of the management. This opportunity must not have been denied to them especially when they had made specific request for the same. By such denial the management prevented the concerned workmen from producing the documentary evidence and also examining their own witnesses before the domestic enquiry.

15. The case of the concerned workman Shri Satyadeo Singh is that he was not present at the time of the occurrence, *i.e.* on 29th September 1965 at about 3.20 P.M. and that he has been implicated and victimised for his Trade Union activities. Shri B. N. Sharma WW-1 has stated in his evidence that Shri Satyadeo Singh was elected as an Assistant Secretary for the year 1964-65 of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh, Jamadoba Branch. Satyadeo Singh, the concerned workman WW-4 has stated in his evidence that on 29th September 1965 at 3.20 P.M. he was not at Jamadoba Colliery office but at that time he was present in the Gram Panchayat Kuchahry at Bhowra. One Beni Singh had filed a Criminal case against him before the S.D.O., Dhanbad and that there was an enquiry in that connection at Bhowra Gram Panchayat by the Sarpanch. He had filed Ext. W-7 which is a certificate granted by the Sarpanch, Jorapokhar Gram Panchayat stating that on 29th September 1965 Shri Satyadeo Singh was present in the Gram Panchayat from 12 noon till 4 P.M. in connection with case No. C. P. 180 of 65 under section 323 of the I.P.C. of the Court of S.D.O., Dhanbad. An enquiry in that connection was made on 28th September 1965 and the parties including Satyadeo were asked to be present in the Gram Panchayat on 29th September 1965 and in that connection Sri Satyadeo Singh was present in the Gram Panchayat on 29th September from 12 noon till 4 P.M. *vide* Ext. W-7. The concerned workman examined Budhu Mian WW-5, the Sarpanch of Jorapokhar Gram Panchayat and he has stated on oath that certificate Ext. W-7 was granted by him and that he held enquiry in case No. C.P. 180 of 1965 Beni Singh complainant *v/s.* Satyadeo Singh and Ramchhan Singh accused. In that case Sri Satyadeo Singh was present in the Gram Panchayat on 29th September 1965 from 12 noon till 4 P.M. Therefore, according to the concerned workman at the time of the occurrence he was not present at Jamadoba Colliery but he was present in the Jorapokhar Gram Panchayat.

16. Sri Baba Singh one of the witnesses examined on behalf of the management in the domestic enquiry have also stated that at the time of the occurrence he had not seen Shri Satyadeo Singh. Another witness Sri Ramjanam who was examined on behalf of the management in the domestic enquiry also stated that on that date he had not seen Satyadeo Singh at the place of occurrence. It was therefore, argued that Sri Satyadeo Singh was not at the place of occurrence and that he had in his possession evidence to show that he was not present at the place of occurrence but he was prevented from producing the evidence and examining the witnesses on that point before the domestic enquiry. The evidence discussed above also goes to show that it was also not possible for Satyadeo Singh to be present there at the time of the occurrence. Shri Satyadeo Singh, therefore, gave complete answer of his non-participation in the occurrence of 29th September 1965.

17. The case of the concerned workman Shri Chander, Hookman is that on 29th September 1965 he was in the morning shift which commences between the period 8 A.M. till 4 P.M. and that on the day of occurrence he was under the mine between 8 A.M. till 4.15 P.M. and that Puran Singh, Hookman took charge from him on that date of 4.15 P.M. Therefore, according to him it was not possible for him to commit any wrongful act as at the time of occurrence he was underground working as a hookman. He has examined himself and put his oath on the point. His presence underground is not challenged by the management by producing the attendance register of the relevant date. WW-3 is Puran Singh. He has stated in his evidence that on 29th September 1965 Chander, Hookman was in the morning shift which commences from 8 A.M. till 4 P.M. and that on that date Puran Singh was in the second shift which starts from 4 P.M. to 12 mid-night and that on that date when Puran Singh joined the duty in the second shift and that he took charge from Chander, Hookman at 4.15 P.M. Therefore, from his evidence it is also clear that Chander, Hookman was underground from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. and therefore, it was not possible for him to be present at the place of the occurrence during the period when he was working underground as a hookman.

18. The enquiring officer Shri N. K. Prasad has submitted his report. But in that report there is no finding regarding the concerned workman Chander, Hookman. The case of Chander, Hookman has not been discussed at all by the enquiring Officer. The finding regarding the other concerned workman Sri Satyadeo Singh is mentioned in Para 9 of the report which runs as follows:—

"Shri Satyadeo Singh cross-examined the management's witnesses and then withdrew from the enquiry. He did not turn up to examine his own witnesses or to produce any other witness to refute the statements of Shri Tarkeshwar Prasad and Shri S. N. Singh. In the circumstances considering the evidence before me I find him also guilty of the charge levelled against him."

The case of Satyadeo Singh as disclosed in his reply to the chargesheet has not been discussed. The finding does not appear to be based on the material that was in possession of management.

19. In the result I come to the conclusion that the concerned workmen were not given a fair opportunity to defend themselves and consequently the domestic enquiry cannot be said to be fair and just. It is therefore, clear that the dismissal of Sarvasti Chander, Hookman and Satyadeo Singh, Miner by the management of Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Ltd. with effect from the 3rd of May, 1966 was not justified. I accordingly hold that the concerned workmen are entitled to be reinstated with full back wages from the date of their dismissal upto the date of their reinstatement along with continuity of service.

20. This is my award. It may be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA,

Presiding Officer,

Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court (No. 3) Dhanbad.

[No. 2/102/66-LRII.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 24th February 1969

S.O. 903.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Management of Ghorawari and Hirdagarh

Colliery of Messrs J. A. Trivedi Brothers, Civil Lines, Chhindwara (Madhya Pradesh) and their workman in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Ghorawari Hirdagarh Collieries, Post Office Junnordeo, District Chhindwara (Madhya Pradesh) in terminating the services of their workman Shri Bhaiyalal, Coal Cutter on grounds of medical unfitness with effect from the 30th September, 1967, was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 5/37/68-LRIL]

S. O. 904.—Whereas a vacancy has occurred in the office of the Presiding Officer of the Labour Court with headquarters at Jullundur, constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment, No. S.O. 458, dated the 5th February, 1963;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of Section 8 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri E. F. Barlow as the presiding officer of the Labour Court constituted as aforesaid.

[No. F. 1/87/68-LRI.]

S.O. 905.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers of Contractors Establishment in mines of Bikaner Gypsums Limited, Bikaner (hereinafter referred to as the said Company) and their workmen represented by the Gypsum Mine Workers' Union, Bikaner and Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, Jamsar (hereinafter referred to as the said unions);

And whereas the said company and the said unions have, by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration of the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of section (3) of Section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 5th February, 1969.

Agreement

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947).

BETWEEN

Name of Parties.

Representing Employers.

- (1) Shri P. R. Joshi, M/s. K & C Daga, Contractor, Bikaner.
- (2) Shri Fazal Shah, Contractor, P.O.—Jamsar, Distt. Bikaner.
- (3) Shri Faiz Mohd., Contractor, P.O.—Jamsar, Distt. Bikaner.
- (4) Shri Wazir Shah, Contractor, P.O.—Jamsar, Distt. Bikaner.
- (5) Shri Dhanna Ram, of M/s. C. L. Khichai, Contractor, Jamsar—P.O. Distt. Bikaner.
- (6) Shri Dhrupdeo Mishra, of M/s. Bachha Mishra, Contractor, Jamsar—P.O., Distt. Bikaner.

Representing Workmen.

- (1) Shri V. N. Gupta, Secretary, Gypsum Mine Workers Union, 19, Sethia Quarters, P.O.—Bikaner, Distt. Bikaner.
- (2) Shri Dilbagh Singh, Vice-President, Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, P.O.—Jamsar, Distt. Bikaner.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial disputes to the arbitration of Shri O. Maheepathi, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (C), Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, Office of the Chief Labour Commissioner (C), Shramshakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi:

(i) Specific matter in dispute.—

(i) What should be the rate of wages at which different categories of piece rated workers employed under the Contractors should be paid for the number of days of leave earned by them during the years 1966, 1967 and 1968 and availed of?

(ii) How the rate of leave wages hereafter should be computed.

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.

The Contractors establishments mentioned under names of parties working for M/s. Bikaner Gypsums Limited, Sadul Club Building, Bikaner in their different mines and their workmen represented by Gypsum Mines Workers Union, Bikaner and Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, Jamsar.

(iii) Name of the Union.

(1) Gypsum Mine Workers Union, Bikaner.

(2) Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, Jamsar.

650 (Approximately)

(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.

650 (Approximately)

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 3 (Three) months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the Award is not made within the aforementioned period, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of Parties

Representing Employers.

Sd/- P. R. JOSHI,
Sd/- FAZAL SHAH,
Sd/- FAIZ MOHD,
Sd/- WAZIR SHAH,
Sd/- DHANNA RAM,
Sd/- DHRUPDEO MISHRA,

Representing Workmen.

Sd/- V. N. GUPTA,
Sd/- DILBAGH SINGH,

Witnesses:

1. Sd/- BALBIR SINGH.

2/2/69

2. Sd/- ILLEGIBLE.

I agree to act as an Arbitrator.

Sd/- O. MAHEEPATHI, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (C).
New Delhi (Camp Bikaner).

Bikaner,

Dated 2.2.69

S.O. 906.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Ashakuty/Phularitand Colliery of Messrs Ashakuty Coal Company Limited, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whereas the management of Ashakuty/Phularitand Colliery of Messrs Ashakuty Coal Company Limited, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad, was justified in refusing work to Shri Basdeo Sarkar, Fan Operator, with effect from the 17th April, 1968? If not, to what relief is Shri Basdeo Sarkar entitled?

[No. 2/5/69-LRII.]

S.O. 907.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Post Office Kothagudium Collieries (Andhra Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri Mohammad Najmuddin, as Presiding Officer with headquarters at Afzal Lodge, Tilak Road, Ramkote, Hyderabad—I and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Post Office Kothagudium Collieries is justified in denying the wages in the grade of Rs. 245-10-325-15-385 plus 10 per cent Attendance Bonus to the Lamp Room Incharges as recommended by the Central Wage Board for the Coal Mining Industry? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?

[No. 7/20/68-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 25th February 1969

S.O. 908.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Chora Colliery No. 7 and 9 Pits and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Chora Colliery No. 7 and 9 Pits, Post Office Chora, District Burdwan was justified in dismissing Shri Fatik Chandra Routh, On-setter with effect from 16th August, 1968? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 6/106/68-LRII.]

S.O. 909.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Sonit Golukdih Colliery, Post Office Jharia (Dhanbad) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 3, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of South Golukdih Colliery, Post Office Jharia (Dhanbad) was justified in refusing employment to Shri Rahman Khan, Mining Sirdar, with effect from the 4th September, 1968 and Shri Satish Modak, Mining Sirdar, for the period from the 6th August, 1968 to the 19th December, 1968? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?

[No. 2/17/69-LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 26th February, 1969

S.O. 910.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Albion Colliery of Messrs. Albion Colliery Company, Post Office Karmatand *via* Mahuda, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Albion Colliery of Messrs. Albion Colliery Company, Post Office Karmatand *via* Mahuda, District Dhanbad, was justified in denying work to the following workmen with effect from the 1st December, 1967? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?

<i>S. No.</i>	<i>Name of the workmen</i>	<i>Designation</i>
1.	Shri Data Ram Chandel	Miner
2.	Shri Jhai Bai	Kamin
3.	Ishwar Lall	Miner
4.	Shanti Bai	Kamin
5.	Nandlal	Miner
6.	Sadmati	Kamin
7.	Gahesh	Miner
8.	Somenath	Miner
9.	Tulsi Bai	Kamin
10.	Babulal	Miner
11.	Ram Bai	Kamin
12.	Jageshwar	Miner
13.	Punia Bai	Kamin

[No. 2/246/68-LR.II.]

BALWANT SINGH, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 26th February 1969

S.O. 911.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Arbitrator, in the industrial dispute between the employees in relation to the Madras Dock Labour Board, Madras and their workmen represented by Madras Harbour Workers' Union, Madras, Madras Port and Dock Workers Congress, Madras and Madras Port and Dock Workers' Progressive Union, Madras which was received by the Central Government on the 20th February, 1969.

In the matter of arbitration in the industrial dispute between the Madras Dock Labour Board and its workmen regarding the datums and piece-rates as between the shore workers and the stevedore workers.

PRESENT :

Shri O. Venkatachalam, Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) & Arbitrator,

Representing the employer.—1. Shri G. Chandrasekharan, Deputy Traffic Manager, Madras Port Trust, Madras.

2. Shri R. Venkatesan, Deputy Chairman, Madras Dock Labour Board, Madras.

3. Shri R. K. Varadhanarayanan, President, Administrative Body, Madras Dock Labour Board, Madras.

Representing the workmen.—1. Shri S. M. Narayanan, General Secretary, Madras Port & Dock Workers Congress, Madras.

2. Shri A. Srinivasan, Secretary, Madras Harbour Workers Union, Madras.

3. Shri C. Balan, Assistant Secretary, Madras Port & Dock Workers Progressive Union, Madras.

This is a case of voluntary arbitration in the industrial dispute between the Madras Dock Labour Board and its workmen represented by the Madras Harbour Workers Union, the Madras Port & Dock Workers Congress and the Madras Port & Dock Workers Progressive Union under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. By an agreement dated May 1968 between the Madras Dock Labour Board and the Madras Harbour Workers Union, the Madras Port & Dock Workers Congress and the Madras Port & Dock Workers Progressive Union, the parties agreed to refer the following issue to my arbitration under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and further agreed to treat my Award as binding on them:—

“Whether or not there should be any difference in fixation of datum and piece-rates between the shore workers and the stevedore workers.”

It was also stipulated in the Arbitration Agreement that I should make my Award within a period of three months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between the parties in writing, etc. Although the Arbitration Agreement did not bear any date, it was published by the Central Government in the Official Gazette on 25th May, 1968 as required under sub-section (3) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act. If the period of three months was to count from the date of publication, it would have expired on 24th August, 1968. The parties however agreed in writing on 2nd December, 1968 and again on 5th January, 1969 to extend the period for giving my Award till 31st December, 1968 and 31st March, 1969 respectively.

2. After calling for the written statements from the parties to the dispute on their respective cases as well as their rejoinders on each other's statements, I heard the representatives of the parties at Madras on 22nd August, 1968 and again on 2nd December, 1968. Immediately after the first hearing, I went round the docks and saw the relevant aspects of working of the shore and stevedore workers with special reference to the employment of forklifts and pay loaders.

3. The facts relevant to this case are these. The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour & Employment constituted a Committee with Shri F. Jeejeebhoy as Chairman and with representatives of the employers and workmen of Madras Port as members to evolve a piece-rate scheme for the dock workers to whom the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme applied, in the light of the principles contained in the decision of the Labour Appellate Tribunal of India dated 1st February, 1956 in the Bombay Dock Labour Appeals subject to such changes as may be deemed necessary, *vide* Labour Ministry's Notification dated 5th September, 1957. Subsequently the Government of India in the Ministry of Transport & Communications authorised the same Committee to evolve a piece-rate scheme for the shore workers and the crane drivers of the Madras

Port Trust, *vide* their Notification dated 23rd September, 1957. The piece-rate scheme evolved by the Committee was later implemented by the Madras Port Trust and the Madras Dock Labour Board with effect from 1st March, 1958. While evolving the scheme, the Committee was guided by the following main principles:—

- (1) The gang strength should be 10 inclusive of one Tidial on the stevedore side and 15 inclusive of one Maistry on the shore labour side;
- (2) The relevant Bombay datum figures for import cargo would apply to Madras with an addition of 4 per cent over the datum figures of Bombay;
- (3) The datum lines in respect of export would be relevant datum figures of Bombay less 5 per cent and where the datum lines are different to those in Bombay, the figures and norms unanimously accepted by the Committee would apply. The Committee evolved the same datums, the same wage graph and the same incentive rates as well as the same conditions of operation of the Scheme for the stevedore workers employed by the Dock Labour Board as also for the shore workers employed by the Port Trust.

4. Subsequent to the introduction of the piece-rate scheme as evolved by the Jeejeebhoy Committee, the Madras Port Trust constituted on 4th December, 1964 a Committee consisting of six persons including Shri S. C. C. Anthony Pillai, President of the Madras Port Trust Employees Union (Trustee of the Port Trust Board) with the following terms of reference:

- (1) To review and suggest the gang strength and datum in respect of the various categories of cargo;
- (2) To suggest the gang strength when forklifts or any other mechanical aids are provided;
- (3) To assess the required strength in the 'A', 'B' and 'C' categories to meet the normal traffic needs of the Port.

With Shri Anthony Pillai dissenting from the majority report, the Committee, at the conclusion of its labours arrived at the following conclusions:—

Reference Nos. 1 and 2:

(A) The posting and operation of gangs of various and different sizes present insuperable difficulties to traffic and is not recommended.

In the majority of cases, forklifts or other mechanical aids are provided and that there is justification for a reduction in gang strength from 14+1 to 10+1.

As this recommendation is based generally on the use of mechanical aids not available at the time the datum lines were fixed thereon in 1957, there is no justification in amending datum lines.

Whenever the provision of mechanical aids is not possible or unnecessary and in the opinion of Traffic Officer increased gang strength is required, one and a half gangs may be employed without change of datum that is to say, in such cases the status quo should be retained.

Whenever the nature of the cargo is such that full gang is not required, of which the traffic Department will be sole judge, half gang may be employed without change of datum.

Reference No. 3

(B) The required strengths of A, B and C categories to meet the normal traffic needs of the Port shall be 160/180 gangs divided into 90/20/50 or 70.

5. It will be seen from the above conclusions of the Committee that whatever might be the size of the gang employed for handling the cargo on shore, the datum lines for the shore workers were to remain unchanged. Perhaps for this reason, Shri Anthony Pillai did not agree with the aforesaid conclusions of the Committee. Subsequently the shore workers belonging to the Madras Port Trust Employees Union resorted to a lightning strike from the second shift of 23rd June, 1966 as a protest against the filling up of vacancies in 'C' category for fear of reduction in employment opportunities. The Administration then contended that the shore workers were not justified in their demand and stated that they were considering the question of reducing the gang strength. As a result of the intervention of Regional Labour Commissioner, Madras, a settlement was reached on 26th June, 1966 under which the parties agreed, among other things, that the shore gang strength be uniformly reduced to 11 (one Maistry and ten Mazdoors) with proportionate reduction in the datum lines wherever prescribed, and that wherever fork lift trucks are worked with a gang assigned to do piece-rate work, the number in the gang will be deemed to be one.

more than the number employed for the shift for the purposes of determining the datum for computation of piece-rate wages.

6. According to the Madras Port, the reduction in gang strength was agreed to between them and the M.P.T. Employees Union because mechanical aids have been provided to the shore workers since 1966 which were not there in 1957 when the gang strength and datums were fixed by the Jeejeebhoy Committee. This stand was also endorsed by the Madras Dock Labour Board. The trade unions on the other hand contended that as discharge or loading of cargo is a combined operation to be performed by both the shore workers and the stevedore workers, the reduction in the gang strength in the shore workers had affected the performance of the stevedore workers and that the latter's piece rate earnings have consequently gone below the level of the earnings of the shore workers whereas they should be and intended to be higher than the earnings of the shore workers. The unions therefore pleaded for appropriate reduction of datums for computation of the piece-rate earnings without any reduction in the gang strength of stevedore workers. According to them, the Jeejeebhoy Committee did not anticipate any permanent reduction in the gang strength of shore workers or reduction in their datum without corresponding adjustment in the datums of the stevedore workers. In reply, it was argued on behalf of the Port Trust and the Dock Labour Board that paragraph 15 of Appendix 'B' (Piece rate for shore labour) and paragraph 27 of Appendix 'C' (Piece rate for stevedore labour) specifically provided that if a gang is or becomes short by a man, the vacancy shall be filled, and that if not filled then the datum shall be proportionately reduced for the period of vacancy. They, therefore, argued that the reduction in the gang strength and the proportionate reduction of datums for the shore workers by the agreement dated 26th June, 1966 between the Port Trust and the M.P.T. Employees Union was fully justified. The trade unions' representatives however contended, quite rightly, that the said paragraph 15 of Appendix B and paragraph 27 of Appendix C contemplate necessary adjustment in case of temporary vacancies and not permanent reduction in the composition of gangs or in the datums for the shore workers without making necessary adjustment in respect of the stevedore workers.

7. In support of the contention of the trade unions that after the relevant agreement of 26th June, 1966 between the Madras Port Trust and the M.P.T. Employees Union, the balance of piece-rate earnings between the stevedore workers and the shore workers had been substantially reversed in favour of the latter causing hardship and frustration to the stevedore workers, they invited attention to the statements (compiled by the Dock Labour Board and Madras Port Trust) of average daily earnings of stevedore workers and shore workers (for equal effective working hours) on a number of days both before and after the relevant date viz. 26th June, 1966. The statements were generally accepted by the parties as factually correct. It is seen from the statements that the piece-rate earnings of the stevedore workers were higher than those of shore workers by about 3 to 7 per cent before the relevant date, while the corresponding figures on the days subsequent to the relevant date shows a more favourable position for the shore workers over the stevedore workers, because their piece-rate earnings exceeded those of the stevedore workers by about 20 to 30 per cent. This situation obviously calls for some remedial action to restore the balance of earnings in favour of stevedore workers over the shore workers as is the prevailing practice in docks everywhere.

8. In this connection, I must refer to the fact that while agreeing to the reduction of shore gang strength from 15 to 11 along with proportionate reduction of datum, the Port Trust and the M.P.T. Employees Union also agreed to have a notional extra worker in each gang for the purpose of determining the datum wherever fork lifts are provided to the gang. The net effect of this agreement is to reduce the gang strength from 15 to 12 (reduction of 20%) warranting proportionate reduction of 20 per cent in the datum.

9. In the circumstances stated above, I consider that the stevedore workers would be entitled to a reduction of 20 per cent in their datum or increase of 20 per cent in their daily wage of Rs. 4.12 in order to restore the parity of their earnings with those of shore workers. I would not, however, favour a reduction in the datum of stevedore workers because that would go contrary to our efforts for increasing productivity and output so necessary for the country's prosperity. I would, therefore, give the alternative remedy of increasing the daily wage of the stevedore workers by 20 per cent i.e. from Rs. 4.12 to Rs. 4.94. The wage graph of the stevedore workers for purpose of the piece-rate scheme will, therefore, have to be worked out on this basis.

10. The next question for consideration is the date of operation of my directions. It was contended on behalf of the workmen that as the cause of this dispute arose on 26th June, 1966 (date of the agreement between the Port Trust and the M.P.T. Employees Union), the Award should be given effect to from that date, or alternatively at least from the date (23rd January, 1969) on which the general agreement was reached between the

Dock Labour Board and the Unions concerned on all outstanding matters in dispute between them which in turn gave rise to the present arbitration agreement. It was, however, argued on behalf of the Dock Labour Board that if any retrospective effect is to be given to my Award, it would create serious practical difficulties of implementation and would also cause undue strain to the Board's finances which are already in a bad state. Considering the contentions of the parties in this regard and the practical difficulty involved in working out the piece-rate earnings of a large number of workers over the past period, I direct that this Award shall be given effect to from the first January of this year (1st January, 1969).

NEW DELHI,
February 19, 1969.

(Sd.) O. VENKATACHALAM,
Chief Labour Commissioner (Central)
& Arbitrator.
[No. 29/19/68-LRIII.]

New Delhi, the 8th March 1969

S.O. 912.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), read with sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Dock Workers (Advisory Committee) Rules, 1962, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri D. S. Bose as a member of the Dock Workers Advisory Committee vice Shri K. P. Mukherjee, and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 56 dated the 23rd December, 1968, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading “*Members representing the employers of dock workers and shipping companies:*”

In item (1), for the words, “Shri K. P. Mukherjee”, the words, “Shri D. S. Bose” shall be substituted.

[No. 65/1/69-Fac.II.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th February 1969

S.O. 913.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs Baney Madhub Mookerjee and Company, Calcutta and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

(i) Whether the action of the management of Messrs Baney Madhub Mookerjee and Company, Calcutta in terminating the services of Sarvashree S. C. Mukherjee and H. C. Mohinta, Monthly Clerks with effect from the 30th December, 1968 was justified?

(ii) If not, to what relief are the two workmen entitled?

[No. 28/9/69-LWI-III.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

(Department of Rehabilitation)

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 22nd February 1969

S.O. 914.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Sub-Section (2) of Section 16 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints for the States of Punjab and Haryana,

Shri B. L. Gandhi, Assistant Settlement Officer in the office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Jullundur, as Managing Officer for the custody, management and disposal of compensation pool.

[No. P/F. No. 8/86/AGZ/66.]

New Delhi, the 25th February 1969

S.O. 915.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (XXXI of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Shri D. B. Lal, Secretary, Relief and Rehabilitation Department, Himachal Pradesh Government as Custodian of Evacuee Property for the purpose of discharging the duties assigned to Custodian by or under the said Act.

[No. VI/711/CSC/Judl/69.]

A. G. VASWANI,
Settlement Commissioner (A)
and Ex-Officio. Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES

New Delhi, the 26th February 1969

In the matter of the Charitable Endowments Acts, 1890.

AND

In the matter of the National Foundation for Teachers' Welfare, New Delhi.

S.O. 916.—In pursuance of paragraph 3 of Schedule 'B' to the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Education No. S.O. 1955, dated the 25th June, 1962, as amended by notification No. S.O. 1485 dated the 29th April, 1967, and in modification of the notification No. S.O. 2726/2727 dated the 3rd August, 1968, the appointment of Professor V.K.R.V. Rao, Minister of Education and Youth Services, Government of India, as Chairman of the General Committee of the National Foundation for Teachers' Welfare, is hereby notified.

[No. F. 8/6/69-NS.4.]

P. D. SHUKLA,
Joint Educational Adviser.

शिक्षा तथा युवक सेवा मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 26 फरवरी 1969

पूर्त वर्षस्व अविनियम 1890 के मामले में;

और

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक कल्याण प्रतिष्ठान, नई दिल्ली के मामले में

ए.० ओ० 917.—अधिसूचना सं० का० घा० 1485, दिनांक 29-4-1967 द्वारा यथासंशोधित भारत सरकार, शिक्षा मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० एस० ओ० 1955, दिनांक 25-6-1962 की अनुसूची "ब" के वैराग्याफ 3 के अनुसरण में, और अधिसूचना सं० का० घा० 2726-2727, दिनांक 3-8-1968 में संक्षेपन करते हुए राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक कल्याण प्रतिष्ठान की सामान्य समिति के अध्यक्ष के रूप में शिक्षा तथा युवक सेवा मंत्री, भारत सरकार, प्रोफेसर वी० क० घा० वी० राव की नियुक्ति को अधिसूचित किया जाता है।

[सं० एफ० 8/6/69-एन० 4.]

परमेश्वर शीन एम्स,
संचाल शिक्षा समाजकार।

गृह मंत्रालय

शुद्धि-पत्र

नई दिल्ली, 27 फरवरी 1969

ए०० श्रो० 918 —भारत सरकार, गृह मंत्रालय के तारीख 22 जनवरी, 1969 के आदेश एस० श्रो० 380 (एफ० 17/107/66—एस० आर०) में जो भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण, भग 2, संख्या 3—उपलब्ध (ii) में तारीख 25 जनवरी, 1969 को पृष्ठ संख्या 106 से 114 तक में प्रकाशित हुआ है, एतद्वारा निम्नलिखित शोधन किये जाते हैं :—

- पृष्ठ संख्या 106 पर आरम्भ में ही गृह मंत्रालय के नीचे “आदेश” की जगह “आदेश” पढ़ें;
- पृष्ठ संख्या 107 पर (i) प्रथम पंक्ति में “खदी” की जगह “खादी” पढ़ें,
(ii) पांचवीं पंक्ति में “है” की जगह “है” पढ़ें,
(iii) पैरा 2 (ज) की चतुर्थ पंक्ति में “भभिप्रत” की जगह “भभिप्रेत”, पंचम पंक्ति में “कायक्षत्र” की जगह “कायक्षेत्र” और अन्तिम पंक्ति में “राज्यक्षत्र भभिप्रत” की जगह “राज्यक्षेत्र भभिप्रेत” पढ़ें,
(iv) पैरा 2 (झ) की द्वितीय पंक्ति में “राज्यक्षत्र” की जगह “राज्यक्षेत्र” पढ़ें;
- पृष्ठ संख्या 108 पर (i) द्वितीय पंक्ति में “परिपावित” की जगह “परिमावित” पढ़ें;
(ii) पैरा 3 की पंक्ति 1—2 में “की और” की जगह “को और” पढ़ें;
(iii) पैरा 3 (1) (ख) की छठी पंक्ति में “भध क्षेक” की जगह “भधीक्षक” पढ़ें;
(iv) पैरा 3(3) की द्वितीय पंक्ति में “राज्यक्षत्र” की जगह “राज्यक्षेत्र” पढ़ें;
(v) पैरा 3(4) की प्रथम और द्वितीय दोनों पंक्तियों में “राज्यक्षत्र” की जगह “राज्यक्षेत्र” पढ़ें;
- पृष्ठ संख्या 109 पर (i) पैरा 4(2) की द्वितीय पंक्ति में “उपमुक्त” की जगह “उपमूक्त” पढ़ें;
(ii) पैरा 5 की तृतीय पंक्ति में “जिसको” की जगह “जिसके” पढ़ें;
(iii) पैरा 5 के परन्तुक की द्वितीय पंक्ति में “ज एग” की जगह “जाएगा” पढ़ें;
(iv) पैरा 6 के परन्तुक की तृतीय पंक्ति में “अंतिमपरि निर्वाजन” की जगह “अंतिम परिनिवारण” पढ़ें;
(v) पैरा 7 की तृतीय पंक्ति में “पूर्वपरावेय” की जगह “पूर्व परावेष” पढ़ें;

5. पूछ संख्या 110 पर, (i) पैरा 7(2) की पांचवीं पंक्ति में “आधीन” की जगह “अधीन” पढ़ें;

(ii) पैरा 8(i) की तृतीय पंक्ति में “आवंटित” की जगह “आवंटित” पढ़ें;

(iii) पैरा 8(2) की द्वितीय पंक्ति में “सेवान्मुक्त” की जगह “सेवोन्मुक्त” पढ़ें;

(iv) पैरा 9 की अंतिम पंक्ति में “पश्चात्” की जगह “पश्चात्” पढ़ें;

(v) पैरा 11 के प्रथम शब्द “अवशिष्टाय” के स्थान पर “अवशिष्टीय”, उस की प्रथम पंक्ति में “किन्हीं” की जगह “किन्हीं”, द्वितीय पंक्ति में “फायदों” की जगह “फायदा” तथा अनुर्ध पंक्ति में “जाएं” की जगह “जाए” पढ़ें;

(vi) पैरा 12 की तीसरी पंक्ति में “तो” की जगह “वहां” पढ़ें;

(vii) पैरा 14 की द्वितीय पंक्ति में “वायित्वों” की जगह “वायित्वों” और “किन्हीं” के स्थान पर “किन्हीं” पढ़ें;

6. पूछ संख्या 111 पर (i) प्रथम पंक्ति के अन्त में “कार्य” की जगह “कार्य” पढ़ें;

(ii) पैरा 15(व) की प्रथम पंक्ति में “संघ” की जगह “संघ” पढ़ें;

(iii) पैरा 15 में “(३)” की जगह “(३)” पढ़ें;

(iv) भाग 4 के नीचे “सम्बन्धी” की जगह “सम्बन्धी” पढ़ें;

(v) पैरा 16 की प्रथम पंक्ति में “आवंटन” की जगह “आवंटन” पढ़ें;

(vi) पैरा 16 की प्रथम पंक्ति में “मुख्यालय” की जगह “मुख्यालय”, द्वितीय पंक्ति में “उत्तरवर्ती” की जगह “उत्तरवर्ती” पढ़ें; तथा अन्त में अर्ध विराम चिह्न को निकाल दें और तृतीय पंक्ति में “को” के स्थान पर “को” पढ़ें;

(vii) पैरा 17 की प्रथम पंक्ति में, प्रथम शब्द “उन्हीं” के स्थान पर “उन्हीं”, “उपबन्धित” के स्थान पर “उपबन्धित” तथा अन्त में “हर” शब्द पढ़ें; और द्वितीय पंक्ति में “संसर्ग” के स्थान पर “संसंग” और पंचम पंक्ति में “ओर” की जगह “ओर” तथा छठी पंक्ति में, “सम्प्रकातः” की जगह “सम्प्रकात” पढ़ें;

(viii) पैरा 18(2) के अन्त का इंशा निकाल दें तथा उस के परन्तुक में “के मामले” शब्द निकाल दें।

7. पूछ संख्या 112 पर, क्रम संख्या 2 की तृतीय पंक्ति के शुरू में ही “(ii)” के स्थान पर “(vii)” पढ़ें;

8. पूछ संख्या 113 पर, (i) द्वितीय और तृतीय पंक्तियों में दोनों जगहों पर “निरेश” की जगह “निरेश” पढ़ें;

(ii) भारा 2क(3) की द्वितीय पंक्ति में “निरेश” की जगह “निरेश पढ़ें”;

(iii) धारा 3क की प्रथम पंक्ति में शुरू में ही "अन्तरिक" की जगह "अन्तरित" पढ़ें और पंचम पंक्ति में "अनियम" की जगह "अधिनियम" और "गति" की जगह "गठित" पढ़ें;

9. पृष्ठ संख्या 114 पर, प्रथम पंक्ति में "के" की जगह पर "की" पढ़ें।

[सं० एफ० 17/107/66, एस० आर०.]

के० आर० प्रभु, संयुक्त सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT & COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Industrial Development)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 24th February 1969

S.O. 919/364/15/IDRA/69.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 15 of the Industries (Development & Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) and in partial modification of the Ministry of Industrial Development & Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development) Order No. S.O. 364/15/IDRA/69 dated the 17th January, 1969, the Central Government hereby appoints Sarvashri J. P. Singh and M. G. Mirchandani, Finance Director and Technical Director respectively of the National Textile Corporation, also as members of the body of persons appointed to make investigation into the affairs of the Cannanore Spg. and Wvg. Mills Ltd., Mahe (Pondicherry).

[No. 9(12)Lic.Pol./68.]

R. C. SETHI, Under Secy..

(Department of Industrial Development)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 27th February 1969

S.O. 920/IDRA/6/69.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act 1951 (65 of 1951) read with Rules 5(i) and 8 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints till the 15th April, 1970 Shri N. K. Sanghi (M. P.), President of Federation of All India Automobile Spare Parts Dealers' Associations, in place of Ex-President Shri P. Sharan Gupta to be a member of the Development Council established by the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs No. S.O. 1465 dated the 16th April, 1968 for the scheduled Industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Automobiles, Automobile Ancillary Industries, Transport Vehicle Industries, Tractors and Earth Moving Equipment and Internal Combustion,

Engines and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said order, namely:—

In the said order:—

for the name "Shri P. Sharan Gupta" in entry No. 18, the name "Shri N. K. Sanghi (M.P.)" shall be substituted.

[No. 1 (80)/67-A.E. Ind. (I).]

S. R. KAPUR, Under Secy.

(Department of Industrial Development)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 25th February 1969

S. O. 921.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955 as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that one hundred twenty-eight licences, particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been renewed :—

THE SCHEDULE

SL No.	Licence No. and Date	Period of Validity		Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the licence and the relevant IS : Designation
		From	To		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	CM/L-2 7-2-1955	1-1-69	31-12-71	The Indian Cable Co. Ltd., Golmuri, Jamshedpur-3, Via Tatanagar.	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes—IS: 398—1961.
2	CM/L-6 7-12-1955	1-1-69	31-12-71	Do.	Rubber-insulated cables and flexible cords of all types and sizes—IS: 434 (Parts I & II)—1964.
3	CM/L-24 19-12-1956.	1-1-69	31-12-69	Light Metal Works, New Sun Mill Compound Delisle Road, Bombay-13.	Wrought aluminium and aluminium utensils—IS: 21-1959.
4	CM/L-47 20-1-1958.	1-2-69	31-1-70	Hunsur Plywood Works, P. O. Hunsur Tea-chest plywood panels—IS: 10-1964. (Mysore)	
5	CM/L-50 20-1-1958.	1-2-69	31-1-70	East India Plywood & Co. Ltd., Cooch Bihar, P.O. & Dist. Cooch Bihar, (W. Bengal).	Do.
6	CM/L-51 20-1-1958.	1-2-69	31-1-70	Jeypore Timber & Veneer Mills Pt. Ltd., P.O. Jeypore, Rly. Station, Naharkatiya (Assam).	Do.
7	CM/L-52 20-1-1958.	1-2-69	31-1-70	The Malabar Plywood Works, Chervannur, Feroke (Kerala).	Do.
8	CM/L-53 20-1-1958.	1-2-69	31-1-70	The South Indian Plywood Industries, Kottayam, Kerala State.	Do.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
9	CM/L-56 20-I-1958	.	1-2-69	31-I-70 The Great Indian Plywood Mfg. Co., 76, Jessor Road, Dum Dum, Calcutta-28.	Tea-chest plywood panels—IS: 10-1964.
10	CM/L-57 20-I-1958	.	1-2-69	31-I-70 Assam Valley Plywood Pvt. Ltd., Tin- sukia (Assam).	Do.
11	CM/L-59 20-I-1958	.	1-2-69	31-I-70 Assam Bengal Veneer Industries Pvt. Ltd., P.O. Manabari, Oodlabari, Dist. Jalpaiguri (W. Bengal).	Do.
12	CM/L-60 20-I-1958	.	1-2-69	31-I-70 The Standard Furniture Co. Ltd., Kallai, Kozhikode-3, Kerala State.	Do.
13	CM/L-113 19-I-1959	.	1-2-69	31-I-70 Phoenix Plywood, Kottayam (Kerala)	Do.
14	CM/L-114 19-I-1959	.	1-2-69	31-I-70 Venus Plywood Co., Nemmara, P.O., Palghat Dist. (Kerala).	Do.
15	CM/L-135 15-7-1959	.	1-2-69	31-I-70 Sarda Plywood Industries Pvt. Ltd., Jeypore P. O. (Assam).	Do.
16	CM/L-161 15-I-1960	.	1-2-69	31-I-70 Patiala Biscuit Manufacturers Pvt. Ltd., Biscuits (excluding wafer biscuits) of the following varieties: (1) Puff cream, (2) Glucose milk, (3) Nice, (4) Butter cream, (5) De-lusee, (6) Titbits, (7) Cream finger, (8) Tea, (9) Glucose, (10) Chocolate cream, (11) Salt, (12) Arrowroot, (13) Orange cream, (14) Cocoanut, (15) Royal, (16) Saltee, (17) Amar, (18) Shorty, (19) Pineapple cream, (20) Lemon cream, (21) Marie and (22) Coconut cookies—IS: 1011-1957	
17	CM/L-201 15-6-1960	16-2-69	15-2-70 Bharat Pulverising Mills Private Ltd., 589, Thiruvottiyur High Road, Madras-19.	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates—IS: 565-1961	
18	C/L-215 29-8-1960	16-I-69	15-I-70 Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Pandit Motilal Nehru Road, Jamuna Kinara, Agra (U.P.)	BHC Dusting powders—IS: 561-1962	
19	CM/L-244 28-II-1960	16-I-69	15-I-70 Indian Plastics Ltd., Poisar Bridge, Kandivli, Bombay-67.	Phenol-formaldehyde moulding powder (for gene- ral purpose mouldings)—IS: 1300-1966	
20	CM/L-252 26-II-1960	1-I-69	31-I-70 Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Union Bank Building, Dalal Street, Bom- bay-1	Copper oxychloride water dispersible powder con- centrates—IS: 1507-1966	

CM/L-256 29-12-1960	16-I-69	15-I-70	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Palluruthy, Copper oxychloride dusting powder—IS: 1506-1967 Cochin-5.
22 CM/L-257 29-12-1960	16-I-69	15-I-70	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Palluruthy, Copper oxychloride water dispersible powder, concentrates—IS: 1507-1966 Cochin-5.
23 CM/L-259 13-1-1961	16-I-69	15-I-70	G.M.C. Himco Industries Ltd., Kam- lanagar, Subzimandi, Delhi-6 18-Litre square tins—IS: 916-1966.
24 CM/L-359 20-II-1961	16-I-69	15-I-70	Burmah-Shell Oil Storage & Distrib- uting Col. of India Lt., Burmah- Shell House, Ballard Estate, Bombay-1. Dieldrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 1054-1967
25 CM/L-363 30-II-1961	16-12-68	15-12-69	Nielcon Pvt. Ltd., J.B. Nagar, Off Three-phase induction motors upto 10 horse power Andheri, Kurla Road, Bombay-59. with class 'A' insulation—IS: 325-1961.
26 CM/L-365 12-12-1961	1-I-69	31-12-69	Ditz Electricals (India) Ltd., " 29 Electric portable immersion' heaters for domestic Malkaganj Road, Delhi. use (500 watts to 4,000 watts capacity)—IS: 368-1963.
27 CM/L-369 22-12-1961	1-I-69	31-12-69	The D.C.M. Container Works, Najaf- garh Road, New Delhi-5. 18-Litre square tins—IS: 916-1966
28 CM/L-370 22-12-1961	16-I-69	15-I-70	Excel Industries Private Lt., 184-87, Zinc phosphide, technical—IS: 1251-1958. Ghodbunder Road, Jogeshwari, Bombay-60.
29 CM/L-372 11-I-1962	1-2-69	31-I-70	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Pandit Motilal Nehru Road, Jumna Kinara, Agra. BHC Emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 622-1966.
30 CM/L-376 16-I-1962	1-2-69	31-I-70	Surma Valley Saw Mills Pvt. Ltd., P.O. Bhangabazar, Distt. Cachar, Assam. Tea-chest plywood' panels—IS: 10-1964.
31 CM/L-462 28-9-1962	1-2-69	31-7-69	Sambhar Salts Ltd., Sambhar Lake (Rajasthan). (i) Common salt for butter and cheese industry— IS: 1845-1961, and (ii) Free-flowing table salt—IS: 2035-1961.
32 CM/L-477 29-II-1962	1-I-69	31-12-69	Shalimar Tar Products (1925) Limited, P-46, Hide Road Extension, Kid- derpore, Calcutta-23. Bitumen (Plastic) for waterproofing pipes—IS: 1580-1960.
33 CM/L-479 29-II-1962	1-I-69	31-2-69	Do. Preformed fillers for expansion joint in concrete, non- extruding and resilient type (bitumen—impregnated fibre)—IS: 1838-1961.
34 CM/L-480 29-II-1962	1-I-69	31-I-70	Jai Electrical Industries, S/52, Industrial Area, Jullundur City. Metal clad switches, 15 amp, 250 volts with HC and MEM types fuse basis and carriers—IS: 4064-1967.
35 CM/L-481 29-II-1962	16-I-69	15-I-70	Govt. Central Lock Factory (Direc- torate of Industries, Govt. of W. Bengal), Burgach'a, Distt. Howrah. (1) Brass padlocks—IS: 275-1961. (2) M type brass padlocks—IS: 1018-1961.

46	CM/L-612 31-12-1963	1-2-69	31-1-70	National Refinery/Pvt. Ltd., 205/207 Swami Vivekanand Road, Jogesh- wari, Bombay-60.	(iv) PVC insulated and PVC sheathed cables, 650/ 1100 V with aluminium conductors; and (v) PVC insulated and PVC sheathed three core circular flexible cords, 250/440 V with copper conductor—IS: 694 (Parts I & II)—1964
47	CM/L-614 31-12-1963	1-2-69	31-1-70	Lloyd Bitumen Products Pvt. Ltd., 1, Taratala Road, Calcutta-53.	Preformed fillers for expansion joint in concrete, non- extruding and resilient type (Bitumen-impregnated fibre)—IS: 1838-1961.
48	CM/L-793 30-9-1964	1-10-68	30-9-69	National Steel Works Ltd., Warden House (First Floor), Sir Firozeshah Mehta Road, Fort, Bombay.	Structural steel (standard quality)IS—: 226-1962.
49	CM/L-794 30-9-1964	1-10-68	30-9-69	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)IS: 1977-1962.
50	CM/L-835 10-11-1964	16-1-69	15-7-69	The Hindusthan Iron & Steel Co., 8, Rajendra Deb Road, Calcutta.	Structural steel (standard quality) of the following sections only : (1) M.S. rounds upto 16 mm dia and over 28 mm dia. (2) M.S. squares upto 14 mm sq and over 28 mm sq. (3) M.S. angles, flats etc. where the cross-sectional area of the sample does not exceed 200 sq. mm. IS: 226-1962.
51	CM/L-836 10-11-1964	16-1-69	15-7-69	The Hindusthan Iron & Steel Co., 8 Rajendra Deb Road, Calcutta.	Structural steel (ordinary quality) of the following sections only : (1) M.S. Rounds upto 16 mm dia and over 28mm. dia. (2) M.S. squares upto 14 mm sq. and over 28 mm sq. (3) M.S. angles, flats etc. where the cross sectional area of the sample does not exceed 20 sq. mm—IS: 1977-1962.
52	CM/L-901 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Shree Ambica Jute Mills Ltd., P. O. Belurmath, Howrah.	Jute hessian—IS: 2818-1964.
53	CM/L-902 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Shree Ambica Jute Mills Ltd., P. O. Belurmath, Howrah.	Jute sackings— (1) A-Twill jute bags—IS: 1543-1964. (2) B-Twill jute bags—IS: 2566-1965. (3) Heavy Cee jute bags—IS: 2874-1964. (4) Jute corn sacths—IS: 2875-1964. (5) V-Twill cloth—IS: 3667-1966. (6) Liver pool twill (1-twill) cloth—IS: 3668-1966. (7) Jute corn sack cloth—IS: 350-1966. (8) Heavy Cee cloth—IS: 3751-1966. (9) Liverpool twill (1-twill) bags—IS: 3794-1966.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
54	CM/L-980 21-12-1964	1-1-69	31-12-69	The Indian cable Co. Ltd., Gelmuri, Jamshedpur-3, Via Tatanagar.	PVC insulated (heavy duty) electric cables for working voltages upto and including 1100 volts with copper or aluminium conductors—IS:1554 (Part I) 1964.
55	CM/L-983 24-12-1964	16-1-69	15-1-70	Kamini Metallic Oxides Pvt. Ltd., Agra Road, Kurla, Bombay-70 (AS).	Zinc oxide for paints—IS:35-1950.
53	CM/L-984 24-12-1964	16-1-69	15-1-70	Do.	Red lead for paints, type—C—IS:57-1965.
57	CM/L-987 29-12-1964	1-2-69	31-1-70	The Raja Bahadur Motilal Poona Mills Ltd., 5, Raja Bahadur Motilal Road, Poona-1.	(i) Drafting machines, (ii) Stands for use with drafting machines and (iii) Drafting units along with protractor held and scales for use with drafting machines—IS:2287-1963.
58	CM/L-997 25-1-1965	1-2-69	31-1-70	The Omega Insulated Cable Co. (India) Ltd., Plot Nos. 16 & 17, Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Madras-58.	Type Voltage Grade Conductor
					PVC insulated cables (i) Single core (unsheathed) 250/440 V Aluminium only. (ii) Do. 650/1100 V Copper or Aluminium. (iii) Single core (PVC sheathed) 250/440 V Do. (iv) Do. 650/1100 V Aluminium only. IS:694 (Parts I & II)-1964
59	CM/L-999 29-1-1965	1-2-69	31-1-70	Rajasthan Industrial & Scientific Corps, 39, Industrial Area, Jhatwara, (Jaipur West), Jaipur.	Water meters (domestic type), 15 mm size—IS:779-1968.
60	CM/L-1105 30-6-1965	16-1-69	15-1-70	Universal Cables Ltd, Post Box No. 38 Satra (M.P.)	PVC insulated (heavy duty) electric cables for working voltages upto and including 1100 Volts (with aluminium and copper conductors)—IS:1554 (Part I) 1964.
61	CM/L-1123 12-8-1965	1-1-69	31-12-69	General Engineering & Electric Works, 9, Dino Lane, Howrah.	Three-phase induction motors, 1 HP only with class 'A' insulation—IS:325-1961.
62	CM/L-1124 12-8-1965	1-1-69	31-12-69	Do.	Small AC electric motors with class 'A' insulation, 1 HP only, single phase, capacitor start—IS:996-1964.
63	CM/L-1166 11-1-1965	1-2-69	31-7-69	Swastika Metal Works, Jagadhri.	Rolled brass sheet and strip, grade Cuzn 37—IS:410-1967.

64	CM/L-1168 3-12-1965	1-1-69	31-12-69	Prem Conductors Pvt. Ltd, Station Road, Vatva, Distt. Ahmedabad (Gujarat).	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes—IS:398-1961.
65	CM/L-1169 3-12-1965	1-1-69	31-12-69	Central Insecticides & Fertilizers, DDF water dispersible powder concentrates—IS:565-1961, Industrial Estate, Indore (M.P.)	1961.
66	CM/L-1176 9-12-1965	1-1-69	31-12-69	Kesoram Sons Pipes & Foundries, Centrifugally cast (spun) iron pressure pipes (size 50 mm to 300 mm), Class LA,—IS:1536-1967. (Prop. M/s. Kesoram Industries & Cotton Mills Ltd), Bansberia, Distt. Hooghly (West Bengal).	
67	CM/L-1183 16-12-1965	16-12-69	31-12-69	Pesticides India, Udaisagar Road, BHC emulsifiable concentrates—IS:672-1966. Udaipur.	
68	CM/L-1184 17-12-1965	1-2-69	31-1-70	Texno Industries, Mettupalayam Road, Three-phase induction motors upto 7.5 HP only with R.S. Puram Post, Coimbatore-2.	class 'A' insulation—IS:325-1951.
69	CM/L-1187 20-12-1965	1-2-69	31-1-70	The Seshasayee Wire Ropes Ltd, (1) Steel wire ropes for winding purposes in mines—Edathala P.O., Alwaye, (Kerala).	IS:1855-1961 and (2) Steel wire ropes for haulage purposes in mines—IS:1856-1961.
70	CM/L-1188 20-12-1965	1-2-69	31-1-70	Do.	Steel wire ropes for general engineering purposes—IS:2266-1963.
71	CM/L-1189 6-1-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	Nestle's Products (India) Ltd, Ludhiana—Ferozepur Link Road, Near Kingwah Canal, Moga, Punjab (Factory under the Style of Food Specialities Ltd.).	Infant milk foods—IS: 1547-1960.
72	CM/L-1190 6-1-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	Central Insecticides and Fertilizers, 110, Industrial Estate, Indore (M.P.)	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates—IS:562-1962.
73	CM/L-1191 6-1-1966	15-1-69	15-1-70	Central Insecticides and Fertilizers, 110 Industrial Estate, Indore, (M.P.)	BHC dusting powders—IS:561-1962.
74	CM/L-1193 10-1-1966	16-1-69	15-7-69	The Bharat Carbon & Ribbon Mfg. Co. Ltd, 543 81311 Lal Saha Road, Calcutta-53.	Ink, duplicating, all weather, black, for rotary type machines—IS:1222-1957.
75	CM/L-1194 10-1-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	The Brijal Machinery Co. Pvt. Ltd, 9-A New Faizali Road, Calcutta-46.	Cast iron flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals (ball type) high level, 15 litres capacity—IS:774-1964.
76	CM/L-1195 10-1-1966	1-2-69	31-7-69	Prakash Metal Industries, Calcutta-11 Gate, Jagadhar.	Rolled brass sheet and strip, grade Cuzn 137—IS:410-1967.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
77	CM/L-1196 10-1-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	E.I.D. Parry Ltd., Ranipet, North Arcot Dt.	(1) Wash down water closets, pattern 1, height 400 mm front and rear (with P-trap and S-trap). (2) Squatting pans and traps, long pan pattern, size 450 mm, 580 mm and 680 mm (box rim type only) (with the corresponding traps). (3) Wash-basins, flat back, size 550 × 440 mm. (4) Sinks, laboratory, size 450 mm × 300 mm × 150 mm (5) Urinals, bowl, flat back, size 430 mm × 260 mm × 350 mm—IS:2556(Parts II to VI)—1967.
78	CM/L-1243 15-4-1966	16-12-68	15-6-69	Bharat Pulverising Mills Pvt. Ltd., Methyl parathion EC—IS:2865-1964. Andheri Kurla Road, Chakala Andheri, Bombay-50.	
79	CM/L-1298 30-7-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	Modi Steels, Modinagar, Distt. Meerut	Mild steel wire for general engineering purposes— IS:280-1962.
80	CM/L-1300 25-7-1966	1-2-69	31-7-69	Bhandari Cross Fields Pvt. Ltd., Mang- lia Gaon, (Near Indore) having their Regd. office at 27, Mahatma Gandhi Rd., Indore City.	Balanced feed mixtures for cattle—IS:2052-1962.
81	CM/L-1309 29-7-1966	16-2-69	15-8-69	Plant Protection Products (P) Ltd., Kodavallur (S. Rly.), Nellore Dis- trict, Nellore-2 (A.P.).	Malathion EC—IS:2567-1963.
82	CM/L-1364 12-1-21966	16-12-68	15-12-69	Bombay Cable Co. Pvt. Ltd., Agra Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78.	PVC insulated cables (i) Single core (unsheathed) 250/440 and 650/1100 Volts (ii) Single core, (PVC sheathed). Do. Do. (iii) Flat twin with or with- out ECC (PVC sheathed) Do. Do. (iv) Single core (unsheathed) —IS:694 (Pt. I & II)— 250/440 Volts Conductor Aluminium Copper

83	CM/L-1369 16-12-1966	16-12-68	15-12-68	The Western India Plywoods Ltd., P.O. Beliapatam, Cannanore Distt., Kerala.	(i) Medium strength aircraft plywood—IS:709-1957 and (ii) Marine plywood—IS:710-1957.
84	CM/L-1370 26-12-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Nahan Foundry Ltd., Nahan, Distt. Sirmur (H.P.).	Horizontal centrifugal pumps for clear, cold, fresh water, radial flow, single suction, single stage, volute casing, 66 mm × 64 mm (5HP), 100 mm × 100 mm (5HP), 76 mm × 64 mm (3HP) and 76 mm × 76 mm × 76 mm (3HP) only—IS:1520-1960.
85	CM/L-1371 26-12-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Hindustan Safety Glass Works Pvt Ltd., Mahesh Mukherje Feeder Road, Ariabad, 24 Parganas.	Laminated safety glass and heat-treated safety glass for land transport—IS:2553-1964.
86	CM/L-1372 26-12-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Imperial Stores & Agency Co., 41, Simla Tea-chest metal fittings—IS:10-1964. Road, Manicktola, Calcutta-6.	
87	CM/L-1374 28-12-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	Annapurna Pulverising Mills, Industrial Pariathion emulsifiable concentrates—IS:2129-1962. Estate, Eluru, West Godavari Dis- trict (A.P.).	
88	CM/L-1375 28-12-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Sandoz (India) Ltd., Kolshet Road, Parathion EC—IS:2129-1962. Thana having their office at 3, Wittet Road, Bombay-1.	
89	CM/L-1378 30-12-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	The Premier Cable Co. Ltd., Karu- kutty, Ernakulam Dt., Kerala.	PVC insulated (heavy duty) electric cables with alu- minium conductors for working voltages upto and including 1100 Volts—IS:1554 (Pt. I)-1964.
90	CM/L-1379 30-12-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	Alembic Chemical Works Co. Ltd., Parathion EC—IS:2129-1962. Alembic Road, Baroda-3.	
91	CM/L-1380 30-12-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Mahendra Electricals Ltd., Kamila, Mission Road, Nadiad, Gujarat State. (i) Single core cables; (ii) Flat twin cables with or without ECC; (iii) Circular three core cables (with aluminium con- ductors only)—IS:1596-1962.	
92	CM/L-1383 30-12-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	Sandoz (India) Ltd., Kolshet Road, Thana having their office at 3, Wittet Road, Bombay-1.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS:1310-1958.
93	CM/L-1384 30-12-1966	1-2-69	31-1-70	Hooseini Metal Rolling Mills Pvt. Ltd., Tambawala Properties, Reay Road, Bombay-10.	Aluminium utensils, SIC grade—IS:21-1959.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
94	CM/L-1385 30-12-1966	1-2-69	31-1-70	Eagle Vacuum Bottle Mfg. Co. Pvt Ltd., 144/46 Sheriff Devji Street, Bom- bay-3.	Aluminium utensils, SIC grade—IS:21-1959
95	CM/L-1388 11-1-1967	16-1-69	15-1-70	J.K Steel Ltd., Rishra Distt. Hooghly, W. Bengal.	Steel wire for the core of steel-cored aluminium con- ductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS:398-1961.
96	CM/L-1436 11-5-1967	1-1-69	30-6-69	Man Industrial Corp. Ltd., Near Loco, Jaipur (Rajasthan).	Rolled steel sections for doors, windows and ventilators— IS:1038-1957.
97	CM/L-1463 16-6-1967	16-12-68	15-6-69	Grandlay Electricals (India), Military Parade Road, Nirankari Colony, Delhi.	Weatherproof polythene insulated, taped, braided and compounded cables with aluminium conductors of the following types : (i) Single core, 650/1100 Volts grade and (ii) Twin core flat, 250/440 Volts grade—IS:3035 (Part II)-1965.
98	CM/L-1469 30-6-1967	1-1-69	30-6-69	The Bharat Carbon and Ribbon Mfg Co. Ltd., Plot No. 66-A, Industrial Area, Faridabad (Haryana).	Ink, duplicating, all weather, black, for drum type machines—IS:1333-1958.
99	CM/L-1553 25-10-1967	1-11-68	31-10-69	Devidayal Rolling & Refineries Pvt. Ltd. Pokran Road, Thana. Bombay.	Structural steel (standard quality)—IS:226-1962.
100	CM/L-1554 25-10-1967.	1-11-68	31-10-69	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS:1977-1962
101	CM/L-1580 12-12-1967	16-12-68	15-12-69	The Mysore Iron & Steel Ltd. Bhairavati (Mysore).*	Carbon steel billets for re-rolling into structural steel (standard quality)—IS:2830-1964.
102	CM/L-1581 12-12-1967	16-12-68	15-12-69	Do.	Carbon steel billets for re-rolling into structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS:2831-1964.
103	CM/L-1584 14-12-1967.	24-1-69	15-7-69	Shri Sampuran Saw Mills, Saharanpur Road, P.O. Yamunanagar, Distt. Ambala having their head office at 8 and 9 Bentinck Street, Calcutta.	Plywood tea-chest battens—IS:10-1964.
104	CM/L-1585 14-12-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Hind Turret Industries, W-3 & W-8 Industrial Area Yamunanagar, Distt. Ambala.	Plywood tea-chest battens—IS:10-1964.
105	CM/L-1588 19-12-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Decora Pu Cabincon Mfg Co. Pvt. Ltd. 17/18 Pologround, Indore (M.P.).	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power trans- mission purposes—IS:398-1961.

106 CM/L-1589 20-12-67	1-1-69	31-12-69	Katia Steel Rolling Works, 613, Barrackpore Trunk Road, P.O. Agarpuram, 24 Parganas.	Structural Steel (standard quality)—IS:226-1962.
107 CM/L-1590 20-12-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS:1977-1962.
108 CM/L-1591 21-12-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Vigvijay Metal Industries, 3/31 Industrial Area, Anand Parbat, New Delhi-5.	Door closers (hydraulically regulated) sizes 1 and 2 only—IS:3564-1966
109 CM/L-1596 26-12-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	General Industries Corp., P2/4 Tarsola Road, Calcutta-24.	Plaster of paris, grade I—IS:2333-1963
110 CM/L-1598 27-12-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Murarka Engineering Works, 28/37 Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-15	Spring leaves and leaf springs for automobile suspension—IS:1135-1966
111 CM/L-1599 27-12-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Henley Cables India Ltd, Hadapsar Industrial Estate, Sholapur Road, Poona-1.	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes—IS:398-1961
112 CM/L-1600 27-12-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Traco Cable Co Ltd, Irimpanam, Thiruvankulam Village, Kanyakumari Taluk, Ernakulam District, (Kerala)	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes—IS:398-1961
113 CM/L-1606 5-1-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Hind Metal Industries, 1, P.N. Mitra Lane, Tollygunge, Calcutta-53	Tea-chest metal fittings—IS:10-1964
114 CM/L-1609 5-1-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Beezee Industries 39/2, Canal West Road, Calcutta-4	Tea-chest metal fittings—IS:10-1964
115 CM/L-1613 9-1-1968	16-1-69	15-7-69	Jai Chemicals, 14/1 Mathura Road, Faridabad (Haryana)	Malathion emulsifiable concentrate—IS:2567-1963
116 CM/L-1614 9-1-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Do.	Malathion dusting powders—IS:2568-1963
117 CM/L-1615 9-1-1968	16-1-69	15-7-69	Racmann Koshatkinn (Regd), 53 Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-15.	Spring leaves and leaf springs for automobile suspension—IS:1135-1966
118 CM/L-1616 11-1-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Brijbasi Insulated Cable Company, 4/19 Bhutearhwar Road, Muthura (U.P.)	Rubber insulated cables of the following types: (i) Taped, braided and compounded, single core, 250/440 and 650/1100 Volts grade with aluminium; (ii) Tough rubber sheathed, single core, 250/440 volts grade with aluminium conductor (iii) Tough rubber sheathed, single core, 250/440 volts grade with copper conductor and (iv) VIR braided and compounded, single core, 250/440 volts grade with copper conductor IS : 434 (Parts I & II)—1964

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
119	CM/L-1617 11-I-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Eribasi Insulated Cables Company, 4/19 Bhuteshwar Road, Mathura (U.P.)	PVC insulated cables of the following types: (i) Unsheathed, single core, 250/440 and 650/1100 Volts grade with aluminium conductors (ii) Unsheathed single core, 250/440 volts grade with copper conductor and (iii) Unsheathed, single core 650/1100 volts grade with copper conductor— IS:694 (Parts I & II)—1964
120	CM/L-1618 12-I-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Central Insecticides & Fertilizers, Vihar Lake Road, Saki Naka, Kurla, Bombay-70.	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates— IS:562-1962
121	CM/L-1619 12-I-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Central Insecticides and Fertilizers, 110 Industrial Estate, Indore (M.P.)	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS:1310-1958
122	CM/L-1621 12-I-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Venkateswara Agro Chemicals, 6/303 Thiruvottiyur High Road, Madras-21.	Malathion emulsifiable concentrates— IS:2567-1963
123	CM/L-1624 16-I-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	M.N. Chatterji & Co., P-48 Banaras Road, Howrah-5.	V-grooved pulleys B200—IS:3142-1965
124	CM/L-1625 23-I-1968	1-2-69	31-1-70	Krishna Miners and Traders, 12 Indus- trial Area, Jaipur West (Rajasthan)	DDT dusting powders—IS:564-1961
125	CM/L-1627 24-I-1968	1-2-69	31-1-70	Premier Timber & Plywood Products Nagarkata, Distt. Jalpaiguri (W. Bengal)	Tea-chest plywood panels—IS:10-1964
126	CM/L-1628 25-I-1968	1-2-69	31-1-70	Rashtriya Metal Industries Ltd, And- heri-Kurla Road, J. B. Nagar, Bom- bay-59(AS)	Copper sheet and strip for the manufacture of utensils and for the general purposes, grade 2—IS:1550-1967
127	CM/L-1634 15-2-1968	16-2-69	15-2-70	Bhanodaya Enterprises Pvt. Ltd, Tade- palli, Guntur District (Andhra Pradesh)	BHC DP—IS:561-1962
128	CM/L-1636 15-2-1968	16-2-69	15-2-70	Tata Fison Industries, Plot No. 94, Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Madras-58.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS:1310-1958

[No. CMD/13:12]
(Dr.) A. K. GUPTA,
Deputy Director General.